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What Is the Value of Security?

The question in the title is not an idle one, meant to be answered: “Oh, certainly, security is very valuable.” Rather, it is a challenge to those concerned with information security to place a monetary value on the protection of information resources. In every organization in which the need for information security is recognized, there is an expenditure to protect information and the systems that manage it against natural, technical and man-made hazards. What does an organization gain by the money it pays for security? This is more than the return on security investment (ROSI), which deals with the payback for individual outlays for equipment, software and services that safeguard information. The question is how much more is a secure company worth than an insecure one?

SECURE AND INSECURE

The terms “secure” and “insecure” are very much open to interpretation. What makes an organization demonstrably insecure? Fraud? Data leakage? Privacy violations? Does the absence of those things make an organization secure? If absolute terms are avoided, we can say that some industries need and have a higher level of security than others, and that organizations within those industries also differ in the level of security they have attained. Even this is definitional; some may have better access control while others make better use of encryption or have more effective business continuity plans. But, however defined or interpreted, each organization is secured to a certain extent that differs from the other. In that case, how much value does greater security add to a company or government agency as compared with its peers or against some absolute metric?

Is that the way security is viewed by the management of most organizations? I fear not. In many instances, security is, at worst, viewed as an annoying inconvenience best circumvented. More positively, security may be a response to regulation or perceived risk. In my experience, security is rarely perceived as a competitive advantage, and I am aware of no cases in which a financial value is placed on it. Management does not think of security that way, largely because security professionals do not make the business case for security in that manner. It is time to change that perspective.

To do so, I propose a simple thought experiment. A company has annual earnings of 1 billion (the currency is irrelevant, so long as this comes out to be a fairly large number). It has market capitalization of 6 billion. A potential buyer offers between 6 and 9 billion for the company, to be determined after a due-diligence review of its financial statements and internal controls. Assuming that the company’s books are materially correct, how much less than 9 billion would the business be worth if security were shown to be inadequate?

THRESHOLD CONDITION

One view is that security would be a threshold condition for such a sale. If security did not meet some basic set of expectations, there would be no acquisition at all. Insecurity would raise questions about the stability of the business and its ability to sustain itself over the long term. The absence, or near absence, of even rudimentary security would indicate a management that is blind to potential risks. What, then, is basic security or a baseline set of controls? Exercising due diligence, one would expect to find at least a security policy supported by standards, access controls, privacy protection and some form of recoverability, especially for electronic data.

Is this a definition of “adequacy”? A C- is a passing grade, but it is hardly indicative of mastery of a subject. Still, the potential buyer of the imaginary company might accept that the necessary security was present, while using the degree of it to justify the acquisition at a lower price. I once sat across the table from a man who would make millions that very afternoon if I merely stated that my review indicated adequate, if not particularly advanced, security in the company he was about to sell. He was able to place a very clear value on security at that moment.
SUFFICIENT SECURITY

Another way to measure the value of security is based on the concept of sufficiency. The term sufficiency raises the question of some independent metric on which to base a decision. Such metrics exist in regulated industries and are often implicit in others. It is important to realize that appropriate security goes beyond adequacy: the adequate level is necessary but not sufficient. Thus, for example, the controls required to enforce separation of duties may be considered acceptable for financial systems, but not enough for trades over a billion or for access to trade secrets and proprietary formulas. Thus, if merely adequate security would enable completion of the acquisition at 6 billion, sufficient security would raise the price higher. How much higher is a matter of negotiation, but in terms of establishing value, sufficiency does raise the ante.

Sufficiency as a concept, or perhaps only as a term, has its dangers. If security is sufficient at a certain level, there is no incentive for more of it, regardless of risk. Placing a value on “just enough” security bases it on average circumstances. It ignores the possibility that security might prove insufficient in extreme but nonetheless predictable situations, thereby wiping out value all at once. I would, therefore, suggest that any definition of “sufficient security” include risk management processes.

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

The value of an organization’s intellectual property is tied to that of its information security. “A formal valuation of intellectual property most likely will refer to a standard of fair market value. This is the standard of value to which the analysis and all assumptions necessary in the valuation exercise have been held. It differs in some very important aspects from a strict calculation of the benefits derived from using the [intellectual property].” Thus, if intellectual property adds quantifiable value depending on its worth in the marketplace (very much like the thought experiment), it is wholly dependent on information security to retain that value.

The techniques for assessing value of intellectual property include a cost approach and the aggregate expenditure to develop it. Therefore, it follows that the cost of securing intellectual property is at least a part of the added value that security brings to an organization. A market approach places a value on intellectual property based on what it would bring if sold.

This actually shows up on balance sheets as “goodwill and other intangible assets.” Even if security were viewed as only a percentage of the overall value of intellectual property, it would be possible to place a monetary figure on it.

PERCENTAGE OF SALES

Finally, the value of security can be determined by the income derived from it. In commercial companies, this means sales revenue, which can be shown to be tied in some instances to security. This is not just theory. In the past, I assisted a client who needed to choose between two highly respected vendors for the same service. They were equivalent in terms of effectiveness, responsiveness, financial stability and fees. I was asked to evaluate them in terms of security; one was clearly superior, especially with regard to recoverability. That company received a very lucrative contract, which added to its bottom line.

It would be foolish to attribute the value of all sales to security, but it would be equally silly to disregard it as a factor. Once again, the monetary amount is negotiable. As a part of the thought experiment, one might say that 10 percent of all sales would be lost if security were not present, or at least not sufficient for the marketplace. Security, therefore, represents 100 million in annual revenue and would add at least 600 million to the acquisition price.

The purpose of this discourse into the value of security is to challenge the idea that it is simply a cost to an organization. Security professionals should state the worth of their contribution in monetary terms to establish the rationale for their activities in the same terms that profit centers do. This will provide not only a basis for managing the appropriate level of security for an organization, but will also demonstrate how much value is lost by not having enough security.

ENDNOTES

1 See Ross, Steven; “ROSI Scenarios,” Information Systems Control Journal, vol. 3, 2002. When I began writing on the subject, there was not much literature about it. Today, an Internet search on “return on security investment” brings 8,900,000 references.


3 Ibid., p. 4–6. This is terminology from the US Financial Accounting Standard 142, of the same name.
Understanding the New SOC Reports

With the transition from Statement on Auditing Standard (SAS) No. 70 reports to the new Service Organization Controls (SOC) reports, this issue’s column describes these reports to provide an understanding of them, and to explain the differences among them in order to prepare CISAs for the changes ahead.

SAS 70 AND THE NEED FOR SOC

About 18 years ago, the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) adopted SAS 70, “Service Organizations.”1 The purpose of a SAS 70 audit was (and is) to gather evidence on internal controls of a service organization (SO) in which those controls were associated with the delivery of a service that was (and is) related to the financial reports and impacted the financial statement to a material degree. Obviously, it was put in place because the financial auditors of the user entity needed to have sufficient assurance on controls over accounts, transactions or disclosures that were material, and some of those events occurred at an SO.2

It was not feasible for the user auditors to be able to properly evaluate them on the site of the SO. Thus, there was a need for some assurance over the controls of the SO that are relevant to the financial audit of the service user to be provided by someone other than the user auditor. SAS 70 addressed this by creating an audit of the controls at SOs, to be performed by auditors (i.e., certified public accountants [CPAs]) who were not the user’s auditors, and a report written on the results of that audit.3 The user auditors could then rely on the opinion of the auditor’s report to best fulfill their obligations—at a minimum, from an efficiency and effectiveness perspective.

Because many of these services were IT-related or involved IT (e.g., transmission of data or funds electronically), and because of the expansion of the number of controls embedded in IT, Certified Information Systems Auditors (CISAs) were often called on to be a part of the service auditor team. Over these 18 years, CISAs have become more and more involved with SAS 70 audits.

The business community began to appreciate and value a SAS 70 audit even beyond the needs of the user’s auditors. For instance, service providers (especially entities such as data centers, cloud computing companies, flexible spending account vendors, banks and retirement account vendors) found that when they called on prospects, the primary concern was one of security (i.e., controls). Thus, a SAS 70 became a valuable marketing tool to show businesses that the user had sufficient controls about which the prospect could be comfortable and could gain an adequate assurance of the level of security being provided. This worked so well that companies began to use a SAS 70 for all sorts of controls assurance for an SO (e.g., a hospital outsources its pharmacy and wants assurance over privacy for US Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act [HIPAA] purposes). However, SAS 70 specifically stated that it was for internal controls over financial reporting (ICFR) and, thus, not correctly applied to privacy or security audits.

Another issue with SAS 70 audits was that there was no standard set of controls. Instead, management of each SO determined the controls to be evaluated, and thus, there was the possibility that management might not have been able to identify one or more critical controls and, thereby, could have unintentionally tainted the SAS 70 report. Even the identification of controls was not formalized in writing.

THE NEW SERVICE ORGANIZATION CONTROLS REPORTS: SOC-1, SOC-2, SOC-3

Recently, the AICPA addressed these evolving issues about SAS 70 and provided a more effective framework for providing assurance of controls in a service organization.4 Because of the evolving needs for a variety of the objectives of these controls, AICPA came up with Service Organization Controls (SOC) reports, identified simply as SOC-1, SOC-2 and SOC-3 (see figure 1 for a summary of the SOC framework). These are based on technical standards of Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements (SSAE) No. 16 and Trust Services,5 both adopted in 2010. SOC-1 is related only to ICFR, SOC-2 is related to controls over security/systems and privacy, and SOC-3 is related to controls over the same.6 In addition, AICPA has issued a “clarified SAS 70” that applies to the user auditor only.
SOC-1: REPORTING ON CONTROLS AT A SERVICE ORGANIZATION

SOC-1 is the report of the service auditor over ICFR and is associated with a new standard that partially replaces the service auditor side of SAS 70. SSAE 16,² virtually identical to its international complement, the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB)’s International Standard on Assurance Engagements (ISAE) 3402, provides new guidance for assurance over ICFR in an SO. Both standards become effective for reports on or after 15 June 2011. It is important that CISAs and IT auditors in general understand the differences between SAS 70 and SSAE 16.

SAS 70 vs. SSAE 16

There are a number of differences between SAS 70 and the new SSAE 16, some of which are rather significant— at least to the process of conducting the attest service (see figure 2).

The focus of both SAS 70 and SSAE 16 is on the ICFR of the user where some controls located at the SO are key controls. That said, some past SAS 70 audits addressed examinations of controls over subject matter other than financial reporting. SSAE 16 cannot be used legitimately to address these other controls, but they can be addressed in SOC-2 and SOC-3 (AT 101). Therefore, there is no difference between the two regarding focus, but in practicality, it may be better to restrict the use of SSAE 16 to ICFR.

Under the old SAS 70, the basis of controls evaluated was the prerogative of the SO’s management. Management simply decided which controls to test and, as mentioned previously, sometimes was unable to properly identify key controls. There was no accountability or feedback to management about its choice because the auditors were forbidden from choosing them. In the new standard, management has to identify the risks associated with the service and financial reporting by the user and then identify controls that can mitigate those risks. The clarified SAS 70 provides for the user auditor to evaluate the proper choice of controls.

The period of the controls included in the report was simply a point in time in the old SAS 70. Under SSAE 16, the report covers the entire period of testing used in the report. This fact changes the service auditor’s service/process considerably, in planning, testing and gathering evidence.

An obvious difference for the service auditor is the change from audit to attest. AICPA states that audit services are reserved for financial audit, and thus, what the service auditor does is attest. As such, the new standard was issued as an SSAE, applied under AT 101. Attest services are very definitive; management identifies specific procedures and the auditor then performs exactly those procedures (agreed-upon procedures [AUPs]). This approach fits the evaluation of controls for an SO.

A new requirement, among others, is that management must provide a written assertion about the fairness of the presentation of the description of the system and the suitability of the design (type I) and effectiveness (type II) of the controls. The written assertion is part of the final report by the service auditor.

One other noteworthy difference is the users of the report. SAS 70 was designed for multiple users and basically went into the public domain. For instance, many large companies would post their SAS 70 on their web site as a “seal of approval.” SOC-1/SSAE 16 restricts use of the report to service/user management and user auditors; that is, it cannot be used as a marketing tool to prospects.
SOC-2: REPORT ON CONTROLS AT A SERVICE ORGANIZATION
RELEVANT TO SECURITY, AVAILABILITY, PROCESSING INTEGRITY,
CONFIDENTIALITY OR PRIVACY
This report type is intended to meet the need to understand an SO’s internal controls related to such criteria as confidentiality, availability, processing integrity (the conventional information security triangle), security and privacy. The process of performing the attest follows the AICPA guide Reports on Controls at a Service Organization Over Security, Availability, Processing Integrity, Confidentiality or Privacy (to be issued in 2011). It is intended for use by stakeholders such as customers, regulators, business partners, suppliers and directors. Similar to SOC-1, there are two types: type I, report on management’s description of a service organization’s system and the suitability of the design of controls, and type II, report on management’s description of an SO’s system and the suitability of the design and effectiveness of controls. The reports are restricted in use (see figure 1).

SOC-2 should be of great interest to many SOs, including data centers and cloud computing companies. It also applies to any entity subject to HIPAA or the US Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (GLBA), if nothing else to give owner-managers or board members assurance that they are in compliance with regulations. Banks could also use SOC-2 reports.

SOC-3: TRUST SERVICES REPORT FOR SERVICE ORGANIZATION
Trust Services was revised by AICPA in 2010 to incorporate the former SysTrust (security, etc., of a system) and Privacy (especially personal data) principle documents that were in place for years. This report type is intended to meet the needs of users who want assurance on the controls at an SO such as confidentiality, availability, processing integrity (again, the conventional information security triangle), security and privacy, but who do not have the need for or the knowledge necessary to make effective use of a SOC-2 report. The report is prepared using the AICPA/Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants (CICA) Trust Services principles. The reports are for general use and, therefore, can be freely distributed or posted on a web site. In fact, it is the only SOC report available to the public. Thus, if an SO wants to have an assurance service and use the subsequent report as a marketing tool, then, by default, the proper report is a SOC-3.

CONCLUSION
These new standards and SOC reports will provide the opportunity for IT auditors, especially CISAs, to perform needed services. IT auditors need to understand these reports, the standards and guidelines behind them, and the differences among them to provide the right service in the proper manner.

Because the controls of these SOC reports are so often embedded in IT, IT auditors, especially CISAs, will be needed to perform the attest services.

ENDNOTES
1 See AU324 of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) auditing standards for details of SAS 70.
2 The user auditors had the option of changing the nature, timing or extent (most likely the latter) in place of examination of controls at the SO. However, there would be a need to do a lot of substantive procedures, and all would likely be manual procedures, which would be an expensive option.
3 There are two types of SAS 70 reports: Type I (focused on fairness of controls put into place and suitability of the design of the controls) and Type II (same as Type I plus operating effectiveness of the controls). This article focuses on Type II.
4 For more on SOC reports, visit the AICPA SOC site at www.aicpa.org/soc.
5 Trust Services was changed in 2010 to include the previous SysTrust and Privacy services that have been around for years. The AICPA intends to release a new guide on Trust Services (SOC-2 and SOC-3) in 2011.
6 SOC-2 differs from SOC-3 primarily in its distribution and the fact that no description of the SO system is required in a SOC-3 report.
7 AICPA, Trust Services Principles, www.aicpa.org/trustservices
8 AICPA, Generally Accepted Privacy Principles, www.aicpa.org/privacy.
9 AICPA, Reporting on Controls at a Service Organization, SSAE 16, 2010
10 There are a number of other differences between the clarified SAS 70/SSAE and the old SAS 70, which the author believes to be of a more minor nature for CISAs/IT auditors.
11 AICPA, Trust Services Principles, Criteria, and Illustrations for Security, Availability, Processing Integrity, Confidentiality, and Privacy, 2009
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Q What do you see as the biggest risks being addressed by IT auditors and/or security professionals? How can businesses protect themselves?

A Recent high-profile cases and global politics have triggered a number of new regulations. These new regulations pose a risk to the organization because they come with stricter penalties and are written with less guidance for interpretation. This means that, oftentimes, the requirements outlined in a regulation are interpreted in varying ways depending upon the reader. If the regulators have a different interpretation of the same requirement, it could result in additional work and/or fines. This makes compliance a costly, moving target.

Regulations are typically based on an industry standard and tailored for the specific vertical. Businesses should protect themselves by implementing a standards-based approach to IT, targeting people, processes and technology across the organization, and utilizing a risk-based methodology.

Q How do you think the role of the security professional is changing? What would you recommend to security students or new security professionals to better prepare them for this changing environment?

A Legacy security professionals are focused on the cause of a security event rather than the effect of the event. This typically results in a risk-averse attitude or a culture of “no.” Information security is often perceived as a roadblock rather than a business partner.

New security professionals should focus on business requirements and gain a true understanding of just how each decision will impact the business. True business partners should not try to secure the business, but rather to enable secure business. This will go a long way toward ensuring that security has a seat at the table when decisions are made.
Q How do you believe the certifications you have attained have advanced or enhanced your career? What certifications do you look for when hiring new team members?

A Certifications serve to establish a common language and baseline of knowledge within the community. Certifications can inspire a level of confidence in employers and a level of recognition among peers.

While beneficial, some certifications do little to illustrate specific experience, and no certification can demonstrate a solid work ethic. When hiring an assessor position, I look for a candidate with a Certified Information Systems Auditor® (CISA®) certification. When looking to fill a more technical position, I like to see a Certified Information Systems Security Professional (CISSP) certification. These are well-established certifications, and both have experience and continuing education requirements. The new Certified in Risk and Information Systems Control™ (CRISC™) certification shows promise when partnered with CISA.

Q What has been your biggest workplace challenge, and how did you face it?

A The modern culture of compliance requires that IT professionals document what and how they are going to do something, manage the asset, and provide assurance that it was done according to plan.

A colleague recently recounted a story about an IT professional who asked, “Do you want me to dig the hole, or do you want me to document how to dig the hole?” Of course, the answer is both, but in addition, the professional has to prove that both were done. As you can imagine, this is an unpopular viewpoint in an already overstressed IT environment.

The solution is cliché. IT organizations need to “work smarter, not harder.” They need to build the case that common processes should have the same procedures and controls.
Enterprise Security for the Executive: Setting the Tone From the Top

By Jennifer L. Bayuk

Reviewed by C.W. Axelrod, Ph.D., CISM, CISSP, former business information security officer and chief privacy officer for U.S. Trust, Bank of America Private Wealth Management. Axelrod is currently a senior consultant with Delta Risk, which specializes in cybersecurity, risk management and business resiliency. He is a member of the Financial Services Sector Coordinating Council (FSSCC) Research and Development Committee and won ISACA’s 2009 Michael P. Cangemi Best Book/Best Article award. He was honored with the Information Security Executive (ISE) Luminary Leadership Award in 2007 and the ComputerWorld Premier 100 IT Leaders Award in 2003. Axelrod is the author of the book Outsourcing Information Security and coeditor of Enterprise Information Security and Privacy, both of which are available in the ISACA Bookstore.

Enterprise Security for the Executive: Setting the Tone From the Top, written by Jennifer Bayuk, appeared in November 2009, just two years after the author’s Stepping Through the InfoSec Program.

Bayuk’s books are typically compact, clearly written, well focused and easy to read, and they are frequently aimed at nontechnical corporate leadership and those information security professionals who see themselves as future managers. Both of these categories of readers will greatly benefit from reading Enterprise Security for the Executive: Setting the Tone From the Top.

The author worked as an information security professional in a major investment bank and securities firm for more than a decade. In preparing Enterprise Security for the Executive, she drew from her own vast experience and discussions with peers, most of whom were from similar large financial services firms. Therefore, the question arises whether a concentration in financial services such as the experiences depicted in the book can be considered truly representative of other sectors and of small to medium-sized businesses. The answer is “yes.”

Lessons from the banking and finance sectors are likely to be learned ahead of most other public and private sectors, and therefore, the experiences of those in this sector remain valuable to those in other sectors. Furthermore, since practically every human economic activity is dependent on the financial services industry, it behooves management in other sectors to understand the security strengths and weaknesses of this critical industry so that they are better equipped to deal with issues they may have with their financial institutions and within their own organizations.

The greatest value of Enterprise Security for the Executive: Setting the Tone From the Top is its description of information security practitioners’ experiences in dealing with C-level management and how those relationships should be handled. It is unusual to see so many actual experiences included in a single book and presented in such a way that readers can relate each experience to their own situations. Bayuk uses a creative tool in the form of security horror stories (SHSs) to illustrate and drive home the lessons of the text. The SHSs are not meant to impart fear, uncertainty and doubt on the part of the reader or the reader’s management, but to “illustrate the fact that, in the absence of systemic security management, disasters do happen.”

The book comprises introductory chapters on security threats and vulnerabilities; the security triad of confidentiality, integrity and availability; and secure products and services. Most security professionals should be well versed in these topics, but their management likely will not match that expertise. On the other hand, the chapters on management structures for the security function and those dealing with legal and regulatory requirements will generally be more familiar to executives than to security engineers. As a result, the book has something for everyone, although it is clearly geared to senior management.

Readers should not skip the case study found in the appendix. While it is hoped that none of the readers are confronted with an environment such as the one depicted in the case, those who, at one time or another, have been tasked with establishing security programs from scratch can readily relate to the story.

As with some of the author’s prior works, Enterprise Security for the Executive provides valuable advice to the reader. However, the real value of the book is realized when read by senior managers, who are less likely to seek out such a book.

EDITOR’S NOTE

Enterprise Security for the Executive: Setting the Tone From the Top is available from the ISACA Bookstore. For information, see the ISACA Bookstore Supplement in this Journal, visit www.isaca.org/bookstore, e-mail bookstore@isaca.org or telephone +1.847.660.5650. Another resource is the ISACA Business Model for Information Security™ (BMIS™), posted at www.isaca.org/bmis.
According to an April 2010 Morgan Stanley study on Internet trends,1 within 10 years there will be a consolidated mobile Internet domain in which applications and interactions between users will become the norm, and information flow will be part of the reason for the services available on that platform.

Similarly, the presence of mobile devices such as smartphones and tablets is an inherent part of the era of mobility and instant information that exists today. In a world dominated by mobility, interaction and loss of privacy, it is necessary to adopt new practices of security and control that enable organizations to meet the challenges of a moving society exposed to continuous data leakage.

In this sense, Mobile Application Security introduces the details of current mobile platforms (such as Java Mobile Edition; Symbian OS; webOS; Windows Mobile; and the operating systems of iPhone, Android and BlackBerry) as a way to understand the key aspects of their technical architecture and security issues to establish assurance and control elements that facilitate coexistence in an adequate and reliable mobile reality.

The book presents a series of suggestions and security tips for developing mobile applications, including the use of protocols such as Transport Layer Security/Secure Sockets Layer (TLS/SSL), input validation, an assurance permission model for the OS, configuration of least privilege and access strategy, proper storage of sensitive information, code signing applications, knowledge of the limitations and advantages of the mobile devices browsers, and safe use of URL.

The authors clearly outline the benefits, risks and security measures for each of the mobile platforms, with examples indicating the actions required for each. Additionally, a review of other mobile services, such as Bluetooth, Wireless Application Protocol (WAP), Short Message Service (SMS) and geolocation, that are inherent parts of the features offered on mobile devices is also provided.

Moreover, analysts offer guidelines based on corporate assurance in the use of these mobile devices, knowing that this is one way to realize data leakage, loss of information and entry of malicious code in the computing infrastructure of enterprises.

Mobile Application Security is intended for information security specialists, information systems (IS) auditors and professionals in IT governance because it includes clear answers about the requirements, risks and control measures required in a mobile information society.

For IS professionals and IT managers faced with securing mobile applications, this book provides a set of best practices that are adaptable to the requirements and business strategies of organizations. These best practices can improve the level of protection and control of information flows on mobile devices while balancing the need for monitoring and control.

ENDNOTE


EDITOR’S NOTE

Mobile Application Security is available from the ISACA Bookstore. For information, see the ISACA Bookstore Supplement in this Journal, visit www.isaca.org/bookstore, e-mail bookstore@isaca.org or telephone +1.847.660.5650. Another resource is the ISACA white paper Securing Mobile Devices, posted at www.isaca.org/research.
A Cost-effective Approach for Sarbanes-Oxley-regulated Application Systems With Minimal IT Control Assurance

Loïc Jegousse, CISA, CISM, CGEIT, CRISC, is an independent technology risk consultant with a track record of removing unnecessary complexity from highly regulated organizations and delivering cost reductions while ensuring that operational and technological risks are managed at an acceptable level. In his past role with MDS Inc., a global life sciences corporation, Jegousse was able to reduce significantly the ongoing costs of regulatory compliance and improve the organization’s posture toward internal and external audits.

A fine-tuning scoping methodology will help provide senior management with greater latitude in deciding whether an application system is deemed in scope for the purpose of an IT control assessment, as mandated by the US Sarbanes-Oxley Act and equivalent regulations/legislation. The proposed approach will assist in reducing reliance on IT automated controls (ITAC) when it makes business sense to do so. This article assumes that baseline data exist regarding the application system and controls deemed in scope.

Many complexities are involved when managing large internal controls programs such as those mandated by legal requirements. Many suggested approaches have been provided to perform comprehensive IT risk assessments so that the scope of the program is focused on areas with the highest risk of financial data integrity. Frequently, compliance assessment teams struggle with the IT-related components of internal control assessments and call their IT auditor/control specialists to evaluate a balanced approach. A typical scoping process within an organization’s program is:

1. Senior management defines the scope in terms of materiality, identifies the scope of accounts from the balance sheet and profit and loss (P&L) statement, and then identifies the scope of business locations and business processes that impact these accounts. This step generally does not involve IT auditors/specialists.
2. For a given business process and location, a controls assessment team maps business processes, identifies control activities and then highlights key controls over financial reporting. Control activities are considered either manual or ITAC. Whenever a key control is an application control (such as an automated report or an automated workflow in commercial off-the-shelf software), the supporting application system and underlying IT infrastructure are required to be in scope.
3. The IT auditor/control specialist performs an IT risk assessment to identify the extent of IT work for both the specific application system control and the underlying IT general controls (ITGC), prior to evaluating the control design and operating effectiveness to ascertain control assurance.
4. The IT auditor/control specialist performs the IT assessment (design assessment and operating effectiveness testing) and reports the findings to the senior management team and to the controls assessment team for remediation and evaluation of the control deficiencies in isolation and aggregation.

Here are the issues caused by such a mechanical approach to scoping:

- **Cost**—The number of application systems in scope can be extensive because of the scoping approach, with some application systems supporting only a few key controls over financial reporting. As a result, excessive resources are consumed to assess the IT controls for a wide spectrum of IT assets.
- **Compliance**—The approach does not take into consideration that application systems with only a few application controls may be considered legacy application systems. Such legacy systems are prone to control deficiencies, and there could be little management support to invest in remediation efforts for those if deficiencies were identified. Senior management may be focused on retiring these legacy systems and implementing a brand-new, enterprisewide application instead. As a result, legacy systems deemed in scope are more likely to be the source of deficiencies.
Who wants to spend time testing controls and reporting deficiencies that will remain in the deficiency listing for a long time? Unfortunately, the usual methodologies, i.e., what was described previously, do not necessarily address such a problem. Also, in accordance with the Pareto principle, it could be that 20 percent of the application systems in scope are causing 80 percent of the problems. Therefore, it would be beneficial to come up with a method for identifying easy opportunities for improvement and to build the business case for a compliant and cost-effective control design.

TARGETING THE SOURCE OF THE “NOISE”
Here are the proposed steps to identify the target applications for further analysis:

1. Obtain a current list of application systems deemed in scope and the key application system controls that were identified. Whenever possible, break down application system controls into the following types:
   – Automated control
   – IT-dependent control
   – Application-system-specific access/segregation of duties (SoD) control

2. Evaluate the effectiveness of ITGC for each application system. This can be performed either by reviewing the results of past testing or by performing an abbreviated assessment focused on key areas.

3. Qualify the pervasiveness of the application system relative to the financial statements, i.e., how critical the application system is to the integrity of financial statements as a whole. For instance, an enterprise resource planning (ERP) system would be qualified as “high relative pervasiveness,” while an application system supporting only one particular business process for one business location would be qualified as “low relative pervasiveness.”

4. Present the data in a table such as the example in figure 1. The analysis will then focus on target applications with the following attributes:
   – Low number of application controls, particularly those with few automated controls
   – Low pervasiveness to the financial statements
   – Overall effectiveness of ITGC. It is key to note that controls failure in key areas (e.g., change control, SoD) can impact the overall effectiveness and prevent the team from testing related ITAC using the “test of one” assumption.

In figure 1, the target applications are App1 and App2 because they meet the criteria. App3 was not selected based on its “medium” pervasiveness to financial reporting. As further explanation, a number of application controls is deemed “low” based on a comparison to the number of ITGC for the environment. As an example, if there are, on average, 20 ITGC, “low” would probably mean anything between one and 10 application controls.

Following is a look at the target applications identified from the cost and compliance angles:

- **Cost**—For applications systems in which ITGC are deemed ineffective, resources will be required to remediate the

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attributes</th>
<th>App1 (e.g., billing and revenue for one site in one business unit)</th>
<th>App2 (e.g., billing and revenue for one site in one business unit)</th>
<th>App3 (e.g., billing and revenue for all business units)</th>
<th>AppN (e.g., ERP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of ITAC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pervasiveness to financial reporting</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITGC effectiveness</td>
<td>Ineffective</td>
<td>Ineffective</td>
<td>Ineffective</td>
<td>Effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of ITGC</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
deficiencies. Also, the testing of the application system controls for those will not meet the requirements for the “test of one” sample, and, therefore, the application system controls will need to be tested like manual controls—increasing dramatically the time needed to assess operating effectiveness.

**Compliance**—For App1 and App2 in figure 1, the application systems are not pervasive to financial reporting; therefore, it is unlikely that the impact of ITGC would be considered significant. However, management will be under pressure to remediate these because, if not acted upon within a reasonable time frame, their ranking could be escalated.

With respect to the target applications with weak IT assurance, management does not seem to have many options available:

- **Option 1**—Postpone the pain, i.e., do not immediately remediate the ITGC deficiencies and then bear the increased cost of performing the testing of automated controls, which may not be prohibitive considering the number of controls. In this scenario, management hopes to postpone funding for remediation.

- **Option 2**—Allocate resources to solve the deficiencies, and aim for effective ITGC. However, management should be made aware that remediation initiatives related to ITGC are complex and that success is not always guaranteed because of the number of “moving parts” involved—for instance, additional staff may be required to satisfy SoD, third-party software may need to be acquired to support monitoring activities, or enhancements may need to be carried out to produce satisfying audit logs.

**“OPTION 3”—CONTROL REEVALUATION CONSIDERATION**

An alternative option should be contemplated, whereby the application system controls are substituted to a strong manual control. This alternative strategy is the core of this article and relies on the team’s ability to think from the perspective of the business and articulate the decisions in terms of costs/benefits. The selling point is that in instances such as described previously, i.e., weak IT controls with limited use of automated controls, it is often cheaper and more effective to implement key manual controls rather than rely on automation. This will sound counterintuitive to many readers, and of course, this method is not recommended in areas in which IT systems are relied on pervasively. Here are the proposed steps to reach a decision regarding the control design and the trade-offs between automation and manual operation:

1. For a given target application, review existing high-level documentation of the environment that relies on the application system. This includes reviewing the financials, processes, list of control activities and systems involved. Identify the names of key business stakeholders (such as general manager, controller, process owners and IT manager).

2. Determine the materiality threshold for the environment supported by the target application. As a rule of thumb, use a prorated calculation of the overall materiality threshold. For example, if the overall materiality is US $10 million for a significant deficiency and the environment that the target application supports represents 10 percent of the business, the threshold to consider would be a US $1 million annual misstatement.

3. Schedule a workshop with representation from both the business and the controls assessment team. The business representatives should have sufficient authority to make decisions in line with their accountabilities. The control assessment team should represent skills for the entire controls area—both business process and IT controls. An important point is to articulate in advance the goal of the workshop in order to maximize attendance and chances of success. At the end of the day, a decision is needed regarding the design of key controls so that costs and compliance profiles will be improved.

4. Start the workshop by restating the objective, describing the problem in terms of costs and compliance, and outlining the three options available along with the costs and benefits of each.

5. Walk through the process whereby the target application is involved (one application at a time) for the key controls. Then, hypothetically assume that, for whatever reason, the application system cannot be relied on, i.e., that the data lack integrity—regardless of the cause (processing error, unauthorized access to database, etc.). In most cases, it may turn out that, for the hypothetical failure of the target application to result in a significant deficiency, it would require a combination of operational breakdowns that would go unnoticed for a prolonged period of time. The discussion may reveal new mitigating controls that
were not identified before. Also, the participants may realize that it could be quite easy for the business to implement a reasonableness check that would validate, on a regular basis, that the target application output is within range of acceptable value, i.e., within the agreed-on materiality threshold. Whatever existed as an informal control could then be turned into a strong key control—with the corresponding audit ability requirements. The business may initially be reluctant to accept the extra burden of operating a new manual key control, but will certainly recognize that the proposed “third option” is cheaper and/or more compliant than options 1 and 2 (noted previously).

**CONCLUSION**

A cost- and risk-effective approach is derived from a holistic view of the objective and from evaluating options for conformance based on the business control environment and culture. If workshops were completed successfully for App1 and App2 of figure 1 and the business agreed to implement a total of three new manual key controls to replace the three application controls, ITGC testing would no longer be required, saving approximately the cost of testing 40 controls. Remediation would be still encouraged, but with decreased pressure from the controls assessment team. (Note: from a strict financial reporting standpoint only—there may be other rationale to drive remediation efforts.) Implementing the new manual controls is likely to cost less than remediation of ITGC deficiencies. As a result, senior management can now allocate resources to where they are the most needed in the organization—to the benefit of the organization’s stakeholders.

**ENDNOTES**

1 The Pareto Principle (also known as the 80/20 rule) states that for many events, roughly 80 percent of the effects come from 20 percent of the causes. The principle is named after Italian economist Vilfredo Pareto, who observed in 1906 that 80 percent of land in Italy was owned by 20 percent of the population. Koch, Richard; *The 80/20 Principle: The Secret of Achieving More With Less*, Random House, USA, 1998

IT Scenario Analysis in Enterprise Risk Management

Scenarios are a powerful tool in a risk manager’s armory—they help professionals ask the right questions and prepare for the unexpected. Scenario analysis has become a ‘new’ and best practice in enterprise risk management (ERM) (see figure 1). Scenario analysis is also a centerpiece of ISACA’s Risk IT framework.1, 2

Risk scenario analysis is a technique to make IT risk more concrete and tangible and to allow for proper risk analysis and assessment.3 It is a core approach to bring realism, insight, organisational engagement, improved analysis and structure to the complex matter of IT risk.

SCENARIO ANALYSIS FLOW

One of the challenges for IT risk management is to identify the relevant risks amongst all that can go wrong. A technique to overcome this challenge is the development and use of risk scenarios. Once these scenarios are developed, they are used during the risk analysis, in which the frequency of the scenarios occurring and the business impacts are estimated.

Figure 2 shows that IT risk scenarios can be derived two different ways:

- A top-down approach, in which one starts from the overall business objectives and performs an analysis of the most relevant and probable IT risk scenarios that are impacting the business objectives
- A bottom-up approach, in which a list of generic scenarios is used to define a set of more concrete and customised scenarios

The approaches are complementary and should be used simultaneously. Indeed, risk scenarios must be relevant and linked to real business risks. On the other hand, using a set of example generic risk scenarios helps ensure that no risks are overlooked and provides a more comprehensive and complete view of IT risk.

The following is a practical approach that has been proven helpful in developing a set of relevant and important risk scenarios:

1. Use a list of example generic risk scenarios4 to define an initial set of concrete risk scenarios for the organisation.

2. Perform a validation against the business objectives of the organisation.

3. Refine the selected scenarios based on the validation; categorise them to a level in line with the criticality5 of the organisation.

4. Reduce the number of scenarios to a manageable set.6

5. Keep all risks in a list so they can be re-evaluated in the next iteration and included for detailed analysis if they have become relevant at that time.

6. Include ‘unspecified event’ in the scenarios to address incidents that are not covered by the specified scenarios.

Once the set of risk scenarios is defined, it can be used for risk analysis. In risk analysis, frequency and impact of the scenario are assessed. Important components of this assessment are the risk factors, which are described in the next section.

This is not rocket science, so why do organisations fail to use risk scenarios more often and routinely? Keep in mind that scenarios are in fact harder to develop than they seem. A good scenario takes time to build and requires good input from a number of areas of the enterprise; therefore, a whole set takes a large investment of time and energy.

RISK FACTORS

Risk factors are factors that influence the frequency and/or business impact of risk scenarios. They can be of different natures and can be classified in two major categories:

- Environmental factors, which can be divided into internal and external factors—the difference being the degree of control an enterprise has over them:
  - Internal environmental factors are, to a large extent, under the control of the enterprise.
  - External environmental factors are, to a large extent, outside the control of the enterprise.

- Capabilities, i.e., how good the enterprise is in a number of IT-related activities. They can be distinguished in line with ISACA’s three major frameworks:

ISAAC JOURNAL VOLUME 2, 2011

Feature
Figure 1—Risk Analysis and Risk Response Overview


Figure 2—IT Risk Scenario Development

Source: ISACA, The Risk IT Framework, USA, 2009
– IT risk management capabilities—To what extent the enterprise is mature in performing the risk management processes defined in Risk IT
– IT capabilities—How good the IT processes are, as defined in COBIT
– IT-related business capabilities (or value management)—Expressed through the Val IT processes

The importance of risk factors lies in the influence they have on IT risk. They are heavy influencers of the frequency and impact of IT scenarios and should be taken into account during every risk analysis, when frequency and impact are assessed. Figure 3 depicts risk factors.7

COMPONENTS OF RISK SCENARIOS
An IT risk scenario is a description of an IT-related event that can lead to a business impact, when and if it should occur. For risk scenarios to be complete and usable for risk analysis purposes, they should contain certain components, as shown in figure 4.

SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT
The use of scenarios is key to risk management, and the technique is applicable to any enterprise. Each enterprise needs to build a set of scenarios (containing the components described previously) as a starting point to conduct its risk analysis. Building a scenario means that each possible value of every component is combined. Each combination should then be assessed for relevance and realism and, if found to be relevant, entered into the risk register. In practice, this is, of course, not possible because it would result in far too large a number of scenarios. The number of scenarios to be developed and analysed should be kept to a much smaller number to remain manageable, since every possible combination cannot be retained.8

CONCLUSION
Scenarios have three benefits that make them very powerful for understanding risks and opportunities.9

First, scenarios expand one’s thinking. People will think more broadly if they develop a range of possible outcomes. By demonstrating how—and why—things could quickly become better or worse, they increase their readiness for the range of possibilities the future may hold.
Second, scenarios uncover inevitable or near-inevitable futures. In developing scenarios, people will search for predetermined outcomes—particularly unexpected outcomes, which are often the most powerful source of new insight uncovered in the scenario-development process.

And, finally, scenarios protect against ‘groupthink’. Often, the hierarchy of an organisation inhibits the free flow of debate. Employees will wait (especially in meetings) for the most senior executive to state an opinion before venturing their own, which then often magically mirrors that of the senior person. Scenarios allow the organisation to break out of this trap by providing a political ‘safe haven’ for contrarian thinking.

Scenarios will not provide all the answers, but they help executives ask better questions and prepare for the unexpected. That makes them a very valuable tool indeed.

ENDNOTES

1 ISACA, The Risk IT Framework, USA, 2009
2 ISACA, The Risk IT Practitioner Guide, USA, 2009
3 Risk analysis is the actual estimation of frequency and magnitude/impact of a risk scenario. Risk assessment is a slightly broader term and includes the preliminary and ancillary activities around risk analysis, i.e., identification of detailed risk scenarios and definition of responses.
4 The Risk IT Practitioner Guide provides a list of generic IT risk scenarios. This list can be used as a basis to build the enterprise’s own set of relevant risk scenarios.
5 Critical entities deserve to have risk scenarios defined at a detailed level; non-critical entities can do with quite generic scenarios that are not elaborated in too much detail. Note that the entity can be an organisational unit, but can also be something cross-organisational, e.g., a grouping of similar business processes and activities.
6 ‘Manageable’ does not signify a fixed number, but should be in line with the overall importance (size) and criticality of the unit. There is no general rule, but if scenarios are reasonably and realistically scoped, the enterprise should expect to develop at least a few dozen scenarios.
7 Risk factors are discussed in detail in The Risk IT Practitioner Guide.
8 Some guidance and considerations for the development and maintenance of manageable numbers of relevant scenarios can be found in The Risk IT Practitioner Guide.
9 Based on Roxburgh, Charles; ‘The Use and Abuse of Scenarios’, McKinsey Quarterly, November 2009
Key Considerations When Evaluating ISRM Programs and Capabilities

Traditionally, information security and risk management (ISRM) has often been perceived as a barrier to success and a disabling force within organizations and business leadership—instead of as a benefit and an enabling capability. This perception is typically a result of the traditional perception of technology: providing security first and using fear, uncertainty and doubt to invoke the need for security within organizations. However, a mature ISRM program and capability is an enabler to the organization and, in many cases, considered a strategic advantage in business activities.

ISRM programs and capabilities have become vital elements within most organizations as they realize the value of their data and information infrastructures. These capabilities have quickly matured beyond foundational requirements and now need to be managed and matured to ensure alignment with business expectations and activities. The accurate and continual evaluation of these programs and capabilities by examiners is critical to their success and to understanding their benefits and challenges to the organizations and constituencies they serve.

EVALUATION METHODS

There are numerous methods and practices that can be used to evaluate the ISRM program and capabilities of an organization, including surveys, interviews, artifact and evidence reviews, benchmarking, capability maturity modeling, and capability alignment with industry-recognized and industry-leading functional inventories. Independently, each of these provides value to the evaluator and the business, but by themselves, they do not provide a comprehensive perspective to all interested parties. It is often optimal to combine these capabilities and use them together to ensure that an accurate and complete view.

Using a customized version of the Capability Maturity Model (CMM) (figure 1) to evaluate ISRM programs and capabilities is often the most effective, comprehensive and widely recognized method. While originally developed for the software industry, CMM can be easily adapted for ISRM program and capability analysis. By adding an incrementing scale within the individual layers of the traditional CMM model, an evaluator can provide details about the maturity of an organization and capabilities that are often requested by organizational leadership and stakeholders. The incrementing scale should represent three distinct segments: .1 through .3 represent capabilities that are in their initial state of maturity, .4 through .6 represent stabilized maturity, and .7 through .9 represent progression toward the next level of maturity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maturity Level</th>
<th>General Description</th>
<th>Increment Range</th>
<th>Increment Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Optimal, optimizing, business-aligned</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Managed, controlled, predictable</td>
<td>.7-.9</td>
<td>Progressing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Proactive, defined, implemented</td>
<td>.4-.6</td>
<td>Stabilized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Repeatable, reactive, best effort</td>
<td>.1-.3</td>
<td>Initial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Initial, undefined, ad hoc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Intent, not identified</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A leading way to evaluate ISRM programs and capabilities is to utilize functional inventories as a baseline for the evaluation of functions and a review of the governance models that are being used. In the case of ISRM, two functional inventories are applicable: the information security program (figure 2) and the information risk management program (figure 3). These inventories include the services and capabilities that should be evident within an organization if it has implemented comprehensive programs and capabilities.
Once the functional inventories that are going to be used are identified, it is important to evaluate the strategy that the organization has developed for the ISRM program and capabilities to ensure that it is aligned with business expectations and requirements.

**EVALUATING ISRM STRATEGY**

It is important to assess whether an organization has developed and implemented a formal strategy for the ISRM program and associated capabilities, and that it has been documented and approved within the organization. A comprehensive strategy will include, at minimum, the following key elements:

- Comprehension and acknowledgment of current business conditions
- Governance models that will be utilized
- Alignment with the organizational risk profile and appetite
- Budget considerations and sourcing plans
- Metrics and measures
- Communication and awareness plans

Strategy is an important component to evaluate. It must be carefully considered and executed to align with business requirements and expectations.

**BUSINESS ALIGNMENT AND ACCEPTANCE**

The alignment of ISRM capabilities with business requirements and activities is vital to the ISRM program’s success. Polling and interviewing business stakeholders and leaders about their perceptions and interactions with the ISRM organization and its functions are the leading methods for assessing business alignment. The business and other interested parties, such as external stakeholders and regulatory oversight groups (if applicable), should not only be aware of the capabilities that are provided, but also be able to derive value from the knowledge and services that are furnished. A key indicator of the lack of business alignment is the business or any interested party being unhappy with or unaware of the capabilities or services that are provided or available.

An additional consideration when evaluating business alignment is the ability of the ISRM program or capabilities to assist in the enhancement of business activities and financial position. ISRM can be used as a valuable asset to increase the confidence of current and prospective customers and partners when they are deciding to begin or enhance a business relationship with an organization. A key indicator of the existence of this capability can be found in the sales and marketing approaches of the organization. Including ISRM concepts and capabilities in messaging or communication activities shows confidence in these capabilities and acknowledges their strategic value potential.

A key indicator of business acceptance is the time in the development cycle of products and services at which ISRM programs and capabilities are engaged. Often, organizations that utilize ISRM capabilities early in their development activities find that they are able to reduce costs (often by as much as 30 percent) and increase efficiency in those capabilities. This is because the organizations integrate ISRM concepts and requirements in the design phase, not toward the end of development activities when the need to add these capabilities results in costly reengineering and adjustments.

Another key indicator of ISRM business acceptance is the number of policy exception requests that are applied for by the business and then granted by the ISRM organization. It is typical to see an increased or higher-than-normal number of exception requests (compared to existing policies) when new policies are introduced. Exceptions that are requested based on the need for more time to comply with the policies are not as critical as those that are requested as an attempt...
by the business to evade or avoid complying with policies. More important than the number of requests is the number of approvals that are granted for the requests. A large number of requests and approvals is a key indicator that the policies are not aligned with the business needs or capabilities.

**GOVERNANCE**

To function at optimal efficiency and capability, ISRM programs and capabilities require a governance plan and structure to be in place and functioning. The governance model should include the functional inventory that is utilized and an operating plan for each function. This plan should include staff and resource requirements, budget tracking, maturity and stabilization plans, a mapping to strategic business goals and requirements, and evidence of business alignment. The governance model should also clearly define the minimal and optimal operating requirements for each function and show evidence of tracking activities that demonstrate that the leadership of both the ISRM program and the business has accurate and meaningful insights into the health and performance of the program and of the services and capabilities that are provided by the program.

The reporting structure of the ISRM program is key to its ability to be effective and successful within an organization. Many ISRM programs were created as part of technology organizations and are reported on to the chief information officer (CIO). This can be an effective structure for initial capabilities, but it is often not ideal or appropriate for mature organizations. The goal of ISRM should be to protect information and the information infrastructure, which includes technology, but it should not focus on this alone. When reviewing ISRM capabilities, areas should be noted in which a conflict of interest may arise due to ISRM leadership’s interaction with members of technology leadership who may not understand or support the full scope of the capabilities and requirements.

Once the governance structure has been evaluated, the next key area of evaluation should be the threat, vulnerability and risk assessment methods and practices that are used by the ISRM program to appropriately identify, evaluate and report on the key areas of risk and concern on which the business should focus at any given time.

**THREAT, VULNERABILITY AND RISK ASSESSMENT METHODS**

The methods and practices that are used as part of ISRM programs and capabilities to evaluate threats, vulnerabilities and risks should be consistent, repeatable and easily understood by their target audiences. These methods and practices should include the following components:

- Business process mapping
- Asset inventory and classification
- Threat and vulnerability analysis methodology
- Risk assessment methodology
- Intelligence gathering, processing and reporting capabilities

A clear distinction must exist between threat and vulnerability analysis and risk management activities within the organization. Information security professionals often mischaracterize situations that are threats and vulnerabilities as risks because the professionals recognize the technical impact without appropriately understanding or incorporating the business impact into their assertions. In most cases, information security programs and the professionals who work in them do not have the full insights of the business leadership in regard to business strategy, importance rankings of business processes and capabilities, and business intelligence to properly identify and rank risks. However, the information they provide about threats, including probability and business impact insights, is essential to the accuracy and value of risk assessments and rankings.

When evaluating ISRM capabilities, a key area of focus should be the process utilized to identify and represent risk to the organization and key stakeholders. Risk assessment, ranking and reporting capabilities should utilize and follow a structured, consistent and repeatable approach. The ISRM capabilities of an organization can provide valuable insights into the enterprise risk management (ERM) capabilities.

---
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of the organization, and are often better suited to perform information risk assessments and rankings for the enterprise. ERM often does not have the maturity or knowledge to properly incorporate information risk into their assessment, ranking and reporting. Consequently, the ISRM program and capabilities should work closely with the ERM organization and associated stakeholders to understand their needs and to assist them with their activities.

**MODES OF OPERATION**

ISRM programs and capabilities are unique within organizations because they have proactive and reactive responsibilities. It is important to assess the ability to effectively operate in both modes. Mature programs are often more focused on proactive capabilities such as threat and vulnerability analysis, vulnerability management, training and awareness, intelligence activities, and control maturity and enhancement. Reactive programs tend to be focused on compliance activities and on responding to incidents and threats as they are realized. If an organization is focused on reactive capabilities, it is often a key indicator of immaturity and a lack of organizational focus on ISRM programs and capabilities.

When evaluating ISRM programs and capabilities, it is important to identify their charter and what they are expected to protect or what problem they are trying to solve. Typically, there are two modes of operation that are utilized. The first is a primarily reactive and technologically focused approach. This model is often found in organizations that do not have mature capabilities and/or do not derive business value from ISRM. In this case, the organization typically does not adequately fund its ISRM program, relies on these capabilities only when it is negatively impacted by an information security incident, and utilizes them as response capabilities.

The second mode of operation, a data- and business-process-focused approach, is typically indicative of a more mature organization. In this mode, the organization perceives ISRM as providing business value, will leverage these capabilities in its revenue-generating business activities, and will embrace the guidance and functions that are provided as a benefit to the organization’s success, rather than as a disabling roadblock. In this mode, technology is still incorporated in the activities of ISRM capabilities, but they are more focused on business processes and data in these activities. In most cases, technology becomes a supporting element and is used to enable controls instead of being the primary focus of the ISRM activities.

Either mode of operation can assist the organization in meeting its compliance goals (internal and external, if applicable). The extent to which this should be evaluated and scrutinized will be based on the organization’s approach to compliance.

**APPROACH TO COMPLIANCE**

Compliance has quickly become an integrated part of any ISRM program or capability within an organization. There are numerous external regulatory, legal and industry standards and internal policies with which organizations need to be compliant to meet their compliance goals. One consideration that must be made is the organization’s approach to compliance. Ideally, compliance should be considered a starting point and not an end point of ISRM capabilities. Unfortunately, many organizations have adopted an approach called “security by compliance,” which is not only a sign of immaturity, it may also make them vulnerable to a significant number of business-impacting threats and may expose them to a wide range of risks for which they may not properly account.

Security by compliance is often an indicator of an organization’s distrust or frustration with its current ISRM capabilities. Compliance requirements have provided organizations a measure by which they believe they can gauge their needs for ISRM capabilities. Again, compliance should be considered a starting point and not an end point for ISRM programs, capabilities and requirements.

A key attribute of mature and effective ISRM programs and capabilities is their ability to meet internal and external compliance requirements and goals with minimal effort as a result of their business-as-usual activities. Compliance is not treated as a separate initiative or program, but instead as an integrated component of the organization’s business activities. In many cases, proof of compliance will become a data-packaging and reporting activity, with a small amount of effort required to meet specific requirements or to develop reports that may not be part of the normal business operations of an organization.

A compliance strategy should also be part of any compliance-related activities. Complete compliance may not be desirable or achievable given an organization’s current business conditions or activities. In this case, it is important that a strategy and road map exist that highlight and focus on the most critical compliance requirements first, and then address other requirements based on business impact and the level of effort required to achieve the requirements over a reasonable period of time.
Along with a strategy for compliance, training and awareness activities also need to be evaluated and considered for their effectiveness. Training and awareness are essential to the concept of cultural change and critical to the success of achieving the goals of business-as-usual activities.

TRAINING AND AWARENESS
Training and awareness activities for ISRM are essential to the success of any capability or program. Training and awareness should not be limited to annual training activities or one platform (electronic, lecture, broadcasts, etc.). When evaluating training and awareness activities, it is important to determine whether the organization has identified the learning styles of its stakeholders and constituency and whether it develops aligned materials.

Training and awareness activities should allow for interactive and bilateral opportunities for learning and communication. It is important that the intended audiences have the ability to ask questions, express concerns and/or suggest ideas. Electronic means such as an internal web site with frequently asked questions (FAQs), blog posts, social media capabilities, and direct contact options with ISRM leadership and staff demonstrate an organization’s commitment to working with its constituency instead of being authoritative and omniscient in its approach.

One approach to evaluate the effectiveness of an ISRM program’s training and awareness capabilities is to choose employees at random and poll them about their knowledge and impression of the program. The individuals who are chosen should represent a cross-section of the organization, including individual contributors, managers and organizational leaders, and should be asked the same questions. By correlating the data obtained from polling each of these groups, a clear understanding of the awareness of the ISRM program and its capabilities can be derived by its knowledge and impression of the program. The metrics and measures associated with ISRM programs and capabilities have become more engrained within organizations as independent business functions and business units instead of as elements within technology programs. These programs and capabilities need to demonstrate business value to their constituencies, including the organizations that they serve. The metrics and measures associated with ISRM capabilities should demonstrate a focus on the value provided by the individual functions and services that they offer, and on the maturity and efficiency of their functional capabilities.

One of the key performance indicators of the metrics and measures capabilities of an organization is the methods and practices that are utilized for development and operation. A consistent and repeatable methodology should be used for the creation of metrics and measures and for the data gathering, analysis, reporting and threshold assignment elements. If metrics and measures are changed frequently (less than one year would be atypical), the data that are collected and reported using them may not be accurate or representative of what is being measured.

Each key metric or measure (those that are collections of multiple metrics and measures or are considered critical to the success of the organization) should also include thresholds with associated actions or activities. Metrics and measures without thresholds do not provide insights into the positive or negative meaning of the values that they produce. Thresholds can be as simple as a notification or as complex as a trigger for a series of actions and activities that will be executed once met. The intended audiences that will be required to take an action or that will be impacted by an action once the threshold is achieved should be able to easily understand the business need or justification for the action and appreciate the value provided to the organization.

Reporting may be the most valuable and important area to review closely when evaluating metrics capabilities. Reporting is the culmination of all of the metric and measurement activities, and is ultimately how the information will be presented to the organization. A key consideration of reporting is audience identification and alignment. In most cases, ISRM programs provide data to a variety of interested parties including senior leadership, business process owners, and technical and operations staff. The reporting of the metrics and measures should be tailored to each of these audiences in the presentation and format and by which data are included. One way to evaluate the reporting capabilities is to interview stakeholders who are recipients of the data for each identified audience type, gauge the value they believe
they receive from the reports and identify how they use the reports in their business activities. If the reports are used for business activities or are reviewed only because the recipients perceive that they need to do so to meet an expectation of leadership, these reports need to be revisited to ensure that they provide consistent business value to the recipients.

**OPERATIONAL VS. CONSULTATIVE APPROACH**

ISRM programs can include operational components as part of their core capabilities, or they can operate in an advisory and consulting capacity. If operational components are included, there should be a clear definition of expectations of the operational responsibilities and how they differ from other operational capabilities within the organization. There should also be documented processes and procedures for sharing information about operational effectiveness, requirements, intelligence and incident-response activities.

If the approach is purely advisory and consultative, the services that are provided to the organization should be clearly documented, as should the level of effort and interaction with the business that will be required for the services to be successful. Providing guidance and advice without operational responsibilities allows an ISRM program to be viewed positively from within organizations since it is limited in its abilities to prevent organizations from implementing operational capabilities not in agreement with the ISRM program.

**INDUSTRY STANDARD ALIGNMENT**

There are numerous ways in which an ISRM program can demonstrate its capabilities to interested parties and third-party examiners, but typically the most effective include a demonstration of the alignment of capabilities to industry regulations and/or standards. Industry standards tend to be accepted as industry-leading practices or, at a minimum, as a demonstration of minimal competency and capability. When evaluating ISRM capabilities, it is important to identify what, if any, standards with which an organization is attempting to align, and for what reason. If organizations are aligning purely for the purpose of meeting compliance guidelines, they may not understand or be receiving the benefits that are intended by the standards. If they are treating the standards as guidelines by which they are modeling their services and capabilities, this may be a sign of immaturity since they are reliant on the point of view of outsiders rather than the development of their own best practices.

Industry standards alignment does have many benefits for an ISRM program or capability. An indication of effective alignment will be a mapping of an organization’s existing capabilities to those prescribed by the standards that the organization finds useful or beneficial to the business. This method demonstrates that the organization has a thorough understanding of the standards to which it is aligning, as well as an appreciation for the need to develop its own capabilities independently. Some of the key industry standards (or good practices) with which ISRM organizations and capabilities may elect to demonstrate alignment include:

- ISO 27001-27008 and 31000
- US National Institute for Science and Technology (NIST) 800 series of standards
- Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard (PCI DSS)
- COBIT

**CONCLUSION**

The business value and impact of ISRM programs and capabilities are rapidly being recognized within organizations. ISRM programs are no longer subservient to other capabilities and need to be evaluated and assessed on a regular basis to ensure that they continue to align with the needs and requirements of the organizations they serve. Effective evaluation will allow an organization and its leadership to understand how their ISRM capabilities align with their expectations and industry-leading practices, and where investment needs to be made to meet their needs and requirements.
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The Struggle for Privacy and the Survival of the Secured in the IT Ecosystem
Building a Holistic Privacy Archetype

Businesses are transforming rapidly, and the technologies that evolved over the cumulative innovations of the past half-century have now begun to bring remarkable changes in the way information is managed. Although these technological innovations claim to have offered the opportunity to foster a colossal capability to capture, analyze and disseminate information, they have exemplified an associated increase in the threats to the privacy of information. Perhaps to a greater extent than ever before, current-day businesses face substantial challenges in managing the privacy of information due to the demands posed by globalization, emerging technologies and the changing regulatory landscape.

In light of the previously mentioned facts, it becomes all the more imperative for a business to find a firm foothold in ensuring the best privacy management track record in the market. This article proposes a holistic privacy archetype that provides a pragmatic approach for the business to efficiently manage and stay abreast of growing regulatory and fiduciary requirements.

THE REGULATORY LANDSCAPE AROUND DATA PRIVACY

Privacy is essential to accomplish consumer protection. The focus on the privacy of information is gaining momentum among nations due to the burgeoning use of personal and sensitive information, the cross-functional dependency of information by businesses, and the rising number of data breaches from lack of adequate controls. According to a special report article in Forbes,1 11.2 million people were victims of identity theft or a related fraud in 2009—at an estimated cost of US $54 billion. Welcome to the world of information—where every bit of data has an associated financial quotient, which is what motivates intruders.

The regulatory landscape across the world prescribes and proscribes measures to protect the privacy of information to counteract emerging threats. Figure 1 provides a list of regulations across regions of the world (it is not necessarily all-inclusive). However, the rules are not always alike and have been enacted in relation to a region’s cultural, sociological and innate privacy threat factors. Although these regulations have played a key role in modernizing the IT compliance system, they make compliance complex because of globalization. Globalization brings new challenges for information that is exposed to multiple regulatory requirements while crossing borders.

THE VALUE PROPOSITION OF INSTITUTING A HOLISTIC PRIVACY ARCHETYPE

The diverse set of regulations and their nonhomogeneity across regions may make it difficult, if not impossible, for a business to institute an efficient information privacy compliance program.

In addition, most of these regulations are backward-looking and have been promulgated in response to historic events.2 One has to acknowledge the fact that, as market dynamics change, technology, legal and reputational risks may manifest themselves in new ways or in magnitudes not previously recognized, which would call for a transformation to the regulatory rulebooks.

The importance of maintaining a viable, dynamic and progressive IT privacy management mechanism is beyond dispute among businesses. However, traditional approaches and a piecemeal compliance mind-set cannot absorb the privacy risks indefinitely or be scalable enough to cope with evolving regulatory requirements. A proactive business model would embrace an agenda that recognizes the critical role information privacy plays in the successful realization of business objectives and would transition toward a holistic privacy management archetype.
The holistic privacy archetype intends to foster a sound information privacy culture within the institution by reinforcing the enterprise governance discipline through a tiered archetype. The core tiers of the archetype are the business process layer, strategy and governance layer, and operational layer. The operational layer aggregates a three-tiered fabric in itself—the process layer, control layer and component layer. Figure 2 illustrates the privacy archetype applied to the financial business model. Each tiered layer within the archetype is calibrated to specific, assigned capabilities to ensure that the system as a whole is sufficient to support a successful enterprise privacy agenda. In addition, the model is well positioned to remain aggressive and scalable to the expanding regulatory landscape.

**Business Process Layer**

Business processes are a set of coordinated tasks and activities executed by people and technology to accomplish a business service. From a business productivity standpoint, the entity’s service portfolio and top-line commitments stem from collective innovations in the business process layer. In addition, the business processes and practices are precisely the focal point in defining the business case for information flow (data collection, data storage, data handling, data sharing and data destruction) within an organization. In other words, the business process layer defines the business case for the collection of information. As the opportunities to use personal data for business grow, enterprises should analyze the adequacy of the underlying controls for managing the evolving risk exposures and strike the right balance between delivering the service customers want and the privacy they expect.

**Strategy and Governance Layer**

The IT privacy strategy sets the tone and direction for the privacy program, its commitment to information privacy, and the business’s overall attitude toward data protection statutes. The layer identifies the enterprise privacy charter and governance objectives and continuously monitors its appropriateness in light of the business’s inherent risk exposure resulting from corporate strategic initiatives, reorganizations and process changes.

Privacy governance characterizes a thoughtful balance between accountability and independence among roles to
have clearly drawn lines of authority, limited powers and appropriate controls conducive to legislative, regulatory and industry-leading practices in managing information as an enterprise asset. Privacy governance involves many players—each with specific, assigned responsibilities—to ensure that the system, as a whole, is sufficient to support the privacy strategy and to ensure effectiveness of internal control. The key players include, but are not limited to, the:

- **Data custodian**, who is responsible for the secured custody of data and executes control over the data definitions to ensure that the data conform to consistent definitions throughout the life cycle (collection, storage, handling, sharing and destruction). The data custodian enforces business rules on information, validates the security over information, approves access requests and maintains currency of access groups.

- **Data steward**, who is responsible for ensuring that the data elements within the organization are in good health in terms of accuracy, completeness and consistency. The data steward performs data validation and monitoring of data (from data entry to data transformation and data consumption) and is, thus, accountable for the quality of data.

- **Data administrator**, who is responsible for executing policies and procedures, such as data backup, data versioning, uploading and downloading data, database administration, and actual set-up of the data.

### Operational Layer

The operational layer is envisaged as the engine that sets a successful privacy system in motion. Dynamic operational practices are the first and strongest line of defense in any information breach scenario. The operational layer compounds three integrated sublayers—the process, control and component layers—which operate in a complementary and mutually reinforcing manner to accomplish the enterprise’s privacy objectives. **Figure 3** defines the elements of the operational layer and their integration with business processes.

---

**Figure 2—Application to the Financial Business Model**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Process Layer</th>
<th>IT Privacy Regulatory Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Core Banking</td>
<td>GLBA Privacy Regulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations</td>
<td>PCI Data Security Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cards</td>
<td>HIPAA Privacy/HITECH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>Right to Financial Privacy Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet Banking</td>
<td>District Privacy Laws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brokerage</td>
<td>COPPA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Privacy Strategy and Governance Layer**

**Privacy Operational Layer**

**Privacy Process Layer**

**Privacy Control Layer**

**IT Privacy Component Layer**
Process Layer
The process layer sets down definite processes for an enterprise to manage privacy as a service. The layer thereby combines the leading practices of service management (such as those in COBIT, ITIL and ISO 27001) as a means to establish the service framework for information privacy. The belief here is that enterprises choose the processes that best fit their individual strategies:

- **Incident management**—The incident management process offers a consistent mechanism for managing privacy incidents in adherence to regulatory and fiduciary requirements. The incident management process integrates functions such as privacy incident detection, analysis, coordination of appropriate incident response, escalation, communication and notification, event containment, causal analysis, forensic investigation, retention and archival of records, and incident closure. There is always a seamless integration established between the process and the control layers, through mutual handshakes, which is unique to the holistic privacy archetype. As an illustration, the incident management controls underpin the process elements, whereby the process layer establishes the process flows and the control layer defines the statutory obligation elements, such as response timelines and the associated notification requirements specific to the region, to respond to a data breach or privacy incident.

- **Change management**—As business practices and products transform (new services, product sunset, change, etc.), they potentially trigger an associated change to the underlying flow of information. During such occasions, risks may manifest in new ways and in magnitudes not previously recognized, with the potential to create extremely negative
consequences for sensitive and critical information. It would be imprudent if adequate mitigation controls and strategies are not planned and established before instituting such changes to the operational environment. As a leading practice, the business should reevaluate the risks related to both their conventional and transforming business processes through formal change management processes.

• **Resource management**—Focusing on better resource management practices enhances service effectiveness and streamlines information governance.\(^1\) Assets that are not designed to perform at desired standards and resilience levels pose a significant threat to the information held by the organization. Assets that host personal and/or sensitive information should be validated against architecture baselines and capacity requirements through resource management processes.

• **Information security management**—Information security management establishes definite practices for translating the information governance strategy of an organization into information protection themes and initiatives that are operationally viable. The information security posture of an enterprise demonstrates its strategy toward preventing security breaches and protecting the privacy of its users. Effective management of information security risk requires an enterprisewide approach to ascertain the risks associated with the information handled (i.e., collected, stored, used, transmitted, disposed) by the organization as a reasonable means to balance the legitimate expectations of information privacy against the security levels corresponding to it. From a value-proposition standpoint, the degree of detrimental impact that can result from a serious breach of privacy puts the cost value of information security management into perspective.

• **Project management**—Project management harmonizes adapting and disseminating privacy management processes and controls to a broader array of ongoing and proposed business initiatives. The process fulfills its mandate to supervise and monitor the reliability and soundness of privacy management practices by establishing a privacy project management office. The privacy project management office regulates compliance of the business initiatives handling personal/sensitive information by monitoring whether the project parameters meet their intended privacy goals and by outlining overarching privacy controls through prompt examination of risks.

---

**Control Layer**

The control layer safeguards the long-term best interest of the privacy program by establishing controls to address any control weaknesses and promote compliance with laws and industry-leading practices, governance portfolios, and risk management strategies. The key elements of the control layer include:

• **Risk management**—Management of IT risks begins with conducting a privacy impact assessment to spot potential concentrations of exposures by stratifying the enterprise service portfolio into segments that have common risk characteristics. The critical success element to accomplish a rigorous privacy risk management practice is to reexamine the internal and external environment exposure covenants with respect to the level and nature of information handled by the business.
• **Compliance**—Equally important to the control over the identification and management of the risks is a robust internal control framework that enables organizations to maintain compliance with all applicable laws and regulations.

• **Audit and assurance**—A sound privacy practice becomes meaningless if not followed rigorously. The audit and assurance function is responsible for reviewing the effectiveness of the privacy program and thereby ensuring that the process and control components of the privacy archetype remain unbroken.

**Component Layer**
The component layer explores innovative and effective ways to put technology to the best use in supporting privacy and data management functions, and puts in place an infrastructure that can identify, monitor and effectively control the compliance risks. Needless to say, the infrastructure should be commensurate with the nature of the organization’s risk profile.

The layer focuses on offering more efficient and effective approaches to streamline data management practices by identifying cost-effective, easy-to-use solutions that are sufficiently robust to aggregate and analyze data across the life cycle:

• **Encryption solution** offers protection of sensitive information from loss and unintentional or deliberate compromise through a process in which data are converted to an unreadable format. The solution enforces security controls over data in motion and/or data at rest based on the enterprise’s business dynamics and operational complexity.

• **Data leakage prevention solution** applies data leakage protection parameters over the data at rest and in transit in tune with the corporate privacy strategy by identifying the critical points of sensitive information flow within the business.

• **Data lineage solution** builds the complete data lineage by deducing the chain of source-to-target relationships, thereby establishing data tracking and management.
associations on the data source (from where it comes to where it flows and how it is transformed as it travels through the enterprise).

- **Database activity monitoring solution** prevents unauthorized activities by potential hackers, privileged insiders and end users by using policy-based controls and anomaly detection techniques.

**Example of the Archetype**

**Figure 4** illustrates the incident breach notification process using the privacy archetype. The value, as discussed throughout this article, is that the archetype provides a reliable, robust, organized and scalable mechanism for managing an enterprise-wide privacy program. As the risk factors associated with information accrue and regulatory rule sets deepen, the archetype can endure additions and changes to the respective layers without notably distorting the system as a whole.

**Conclusion**

The market forces aggregate demand and add perspectives for exploring avenues that offset information privacy risks. Exposure of sensitive and personal information and the ever-growing threats to the security of information reveal that privacy of information is of serious concern across all business lines.

These data privacy concerns have renewed the resolve of enterprises across regions and have initiated a broad consensus among market participants to establish a robust privacy management program. However, businesses must recognize that traditional piecemeal approaches in pursuit of this resolve, however well intentioned, may end up redundant, less productive and less able to deliver on the long-term privacy management promise. Reinforcing the need for robust privacy objectives, the proposed privacy archetype focuses on the holistic privacy management paradigm and fosters continual improvement by being flexible to future advances that leading practices and regulations create.
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Value Assessment Tool for ICT Projects at the European Commission

The Directorate-General for Informatics (DIGIT) enables the European Commission to make effective and efficient use of information and communication technologies to achieve its organisational and political objectives. More than 10 years ago, many European Commission departments, led by the Internal Audit Service and Directorate-General for Agriculture, selected COBIT as a framework for the assessment and improvement of IT processes.

IT governance processes at DIGIT involve strategy and portfolio management, project and development methodology, and enterprise architecture. Major challenges faced by DIGIT today involve improving the integration of business and IT planning cycles as well as optimising investments of scarce resources to maximise the business value of IT.

The Value Assessment Tool (VAST) research is one of many IT governance implementation initiatives led by Francisco Garcia Moran, director general of DIGIT, and Declan Deasy, director of information systems and interoperability solutions. This research takes advantage of frameworks such as ISACA’s Val IT and categorises non-financial benefits of projects to highlight and compare their expected value.

When speaking to DIGIT officials about the governance of IT within the Commission, their alignment with ISACA principles and their focus on the five areas of governance that are supported by COBIT are evident.

Georges Ataya, CISA, CISM, CGEIT, CISSP
Academic Director of IT Management Education, Solvay Brussels School of Economics and Management

Electronic government (e-government) is now mainstream for transforming the public sector so that it achieves its political objectives in an effective, efficient and transparent manner. Today, practically all policy initiatives result in related information and communication technologies (ICT) projects,1 and ICT has become a key enabler for policy impact, transparency and compliance to norms and standards.

At the same time, public organisations have increasingly limited resources, so new investments have to be made carefully. This trend has been reinforced due to the current economic crisis. Additionally, the complex characteristics of the public sector2 may further influence new initiatives, so projects could often go beyond their initial scope and budget, and require more time than had been envisaged. They, however, may still be considered successful.

While concepts such as cost-effectiveness and return on investment (ROI) can be easily used to define the success of a project in the private sector, within the public sector the created ‘public value’ has the biggest weighting.3 Costly and risky projects must be undertaken to comply with legislative requirements; extended scope is often accepted to satisfy all stakeholders; deadlines are extended to cover all business needs put forward. Therefore, the ‘public value’ created by an ICT project will determine its success and it should be differentiated from the conventional concepts of project benefits.

Based on similar reflections within the European Commission, the recently set up Corporate Project Office (CPO) had to look for a way to evaluate and prioritise promising ICT projects. Such evaluation needed to distinguish between the public value created by the project (qualitative value) and the cost effectiveness of the project (quantitative value), and at the same time take into account the environment in which this new ICT project would be developed, implemented and operated.

A number of well-established methodologies used in private and public organisations were evaluated for their potential to be reused in the European Commission’s context. The analyses concluded that none of the evaluated solutions fit with the specific organisational setup. Therefore, building on these methodologies, the aim was to
define a custom-made, easy-to-use and automated solution, allowing the Commission’s services to assess the expected value of envisaged projects. The result of the work is the Value Assessment Tool (VAST) of the European Commission and is the subject of this article.

**ICT CONTEXT AT THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION**

The European Commission is a complex, decentralised organisation composed of 41 services with a great level of autonomy, each under the leadership of a director-general. This organisational setup is reflected in the ICT aspects of the institution:

- At the business process level, services are fully autonomous and harmonisation is done on an *ad hoc* and voluntary basis.
- At the information systems level, services are also autonomous, but corporate systems are mainly developed by the Directorate-General for Informatics (DIGIT), which is also responsible for defining the development and operating the underlying infrastructure for which certain layers are managed centrally.
- For proximity support services, a consolidation exercise is underway.
- At the infrastructure level, the network, for example, is managed centrally.

DIGIT is also responsible for e-government: internally with the eCommission initiative and with Member States through the Interoperability Solutions for European Public Administrations (ISA) programme.

Like other public administrations, the European Commission is subject to constraints: constant or diminishing resources, in the face of increasing demand. Therefore, priorities have to be carefully established, and the launch of new ICT projects should be based on their expected value. Within the Commission, this aspect is reinforced by the nature of the organisation, since duplications might easily occur when ICT is managed at several, sometimes independent, levels.

To alleviate such difficulties, it is essential to assess the value promised by a given project at an early stage of its inception and, most important, to distinguish between its potential qualitative and quantitative value. It is also important to benefit from a fair comparison element between projects coming from different services. These elements triggered the need for a value assessment methodology.

However, due to the organisational context of the European Commission, such a methodology could not serve its purpose if it was used only at corporate level by the CPO. A potential value assessment methodology needs to be widely adopted by the decentralised structures responsible for ICT. Only suitability to the whole ICT community would reveal the full potential of the selected method.

Therefore, for such a methodology to be accepted, a first requirement is its ease of use and ‘self-training’. Qualitative value assessments should take 30 minutes, given the fact that people conducting the assessment have familiarised themselves with the new ICT project through the standard project documentation for the Commission (e.g., business case and vision) or they are part of the project team. The latter case of usage could be defined as self-assessment.

Furthermore, this methodology has to be usable by both ICT and non-ICT professionals so that they can complement each other’s views (business and technological) during the project assessment process. Moreover, when decisions have to be taken based on the assessment, the chosen method should provide meaningful, but at the same time, concise, output so that it could be used as a communication means with top management.

Lastly, going beyond the organisational setup and environment, a specific and unique requirement to the Commission is the need to estimate the value of a project at the level of the European Union.

**VALUE ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGIES REVIEW**

Taking into account the ICT organisational context of the Commission and the specific requirements that it imposed, well-established and practically oriented frameworks/methodologies from both the private and the public sector were selected and examined.

**Val IT Framework**

Val IT is a governance framework initiated to address the lack of IT investment and management guidelines. Its goal is to ensure the delivery of optimal value from IT investment at adequate costs and levels of risk. The Val IT framework provides extensive guidelines and describes processes to be set up and followed in three main domains: Value Governance, Portfolio Management and Investment Management.4
On the positive side, it was considered that Val IT gives a holistic, high-level overview of the mechanisms that can be used to manage the value derived from IT. However, it was not possible to be applied at the European Commission due to the highly decentralised organisational setup when managing ICT. Bearing in mind this constraint, Val IT was used only as an insight for the endeavour.

**Demand and Value Assessment Methodology for Better Government Services**

The Demand and Value Assessment Methodology for better government services is an initiative of the Australian government that assesses the:

- Demand of e-government services from the viewpoint of end users
- Value of such services, based on the more traditional costs and benefits, but taking into account social and governance implications

It is supported by a spreadsheet-based tool.

The major advantages of the Demand and Value Assessment tool are that it covers both financial and non-financial value and is assisted by a semi-automated tool giving graphical representation of the results. These also closely matched the projects requirements. However, the assessment criteria of the methodology were chosen for the assessment of service provision at the national or local government level and, therefore, differ greatly from those considered at the European level. Furthermore, as it is rather detailed and provides an ‘open’ structure (criteria and objectives need to be defined by the evaluator), the use of the methodology entailed training, and this was not in line with the aim of easy use and quick results.

**Economic Efficiency Assessment Methodology (WiBe) 4.0**

WiBe has been used since 1992 by the German federal administration to ensure the economic efficiency of its ICT projects. It is based on two main steps:

1. Identifying parameters that may have an impact on the economic efficiency of the project (a general catalogue of criteria is provided)
2. Determining the economic efficiency of the project with the support of detailed guidelines

The core of WiBe is an exhaustive list of criteria, of which some can be quantified in monetary terms, and some in non-monetary terms. In order to evaluate a project, one should pick the applicable criteria from this catalogue. The strong point of this approach is that it may entail accurate cost/benefit analysis in both monetary and non-monetary terms. However, due to the differences between the chosen criteria, the cross-comparison between projects could be questioned. Again, a disadvantage for the use of this methodology is that it may require up to one day or even more of training if it is used for the first time.

**MAREVA**

MAREVA was launched in 2005 by the French eGovernment Agency (ADAE) and is widely used by the French governmental organisation, and more recently in Quebec. This methodology bases its assessment on the following axes:

- Profitability
- Risk control
- Values both qualitative and quantitative for the whole public sector
- Values both qualitative and quantitative generated outside of the public sector (citizens and enterprises)
- The project’s necessity

MAREVA is composed of two spreadsheet-based files. One addresses the first axis, and the other targets the other four axes. It has been positively evaluated that this approach is structured, and the tool comes with a training package. However, the method focuses on the financial aspects, is greatly detailed, and requires training to understand the various concepts and the calculations. On the contrary, the approach of the European Commission was to focus on the qualitative value.

**Value-measuring Methodology**

The Value-measuring Methodology (VMM) was developed between 2001 and 2002 under the co-ordination of the Federal Chief Information Officer Council and has the main objective of sound ICT investment management. VMM encompasses four steps:

1. Develop a decision framework.
2. Perform an alternative analysis.
3. Pull the information together.

This approach is closely linked to the establishment of a business case for new projects and could assist portfolio management practitioners.
The major advantage of this methodology is that it aims to assess both qualitative and quantitative values and builds clear processes to be followed. However, VMM does not propose the set of criteria to be used; it suggests only how to select these criteria and prioritise them to fit the assessed investment closely. While this may entail a close match and precision, the criteria selection process may require time. Further, the cross-comparison between initiatives may be weak if the criteria differ from project to project.

The scope used to evaluate these methodologies has been confirmed by Gartner’s report on Public-Value-of-IT Frameworks,12 in which worldwide examples were selected and reviewed, underlining both their strengths and their weaknesses. Four out of the five assessed methodologies form part of this report.

PROJECT APPROACH
The analyses of the existing methodologies provided a good overview and important insights on the subject of value assessment in the private and public sectors. They have also shown that for such a methodology to serve its purpose, it should be carefully tailored to the environment in which it will be used. However, considering that none of the methodologies fully satisfied the requirements posed by the specific ICT context of the European Commission, a decision was taken to develop a customised solution.

As already explained, the assessment needed to go beyond the traditional financial benefits. Therefore, both qualitative and quantitative criteria had to be used, and the qualitative criteria had to evaluate explicitly the value for the European Union promised by the new project. Furthermore, all ICT projects’ assessments should use the same set of criteria to allow cross-comparison and prioritisation. Although keeping its focus on ICT, it should use, as much as possible, business-oriented terms to assure suitability for both business and IT services. Finally, in order to assure effortless adoption, ease of use, and concise and quick presentation of results, a spreadsheet-based tool was selected. Thus, a certain level of automation and enabling flexibility was achieved while the overall approach was still in its adoption phase.

To assure the success of the tool, several iterations of development, tests and feedback sessions with a subset of the European Commission services were completed until a stable version was produced, supported by guidelines for a methodological reference for the use of the tool and for the analyses of the results. The next section of this article looks at the custom-made value assessment tool in more detail.

PRESENTATION OF THE VALUE ASSESSMENT TOOL
VAST is a spreadsheet-based tool that consists of an Index page, five Value perspectives and a graphical Results page (depicted in figure 1). The tool is also supported by guidelines that serve as a methodological reference and help its use. Each of these parts is presented in the following sub-sections.

Project Identification
The Index page collects general information about the project: project name, contacts, business owner of the project and date of assessment. This Index page also serves as a central point of the tool, and in doing so, it provides shortcuts to the other parts of the tool.

Value Perspectives
VAST consists of five value perspectives: four estimate the qualitative value of the ICT projects (Value for European Union [EU], Value for European Commission [EC], Risks and Necessity) and one estimates the quantitative value (Financial Costs and Benefits). The four qualitative perspectives consist of sets of criteria that are grouped into a number of sections and sub-sections. The quantitative perspective requires financial information on the project, and some exact figures need to be provided. The five perspectives, with their objectives, are outlined as follows.

The Value for EU perspective looks at the assessment of the external value of an ICT project. Any benefits delivered outside the Commission itself (value to the European society or to European citizens) are considered external value. If the project does not have external users and is used for purely administrative purposes, this value perspective can be omitted.

The Value for EC perspective encompasses criteria that assess the internal value of an ICT project. Any benefits delivered outside the Commission itself (value to the European society or to European citizens) are considered external value. If the project does not have external users and is used for purely administrative purposes, this value perspective can be omitted.

The Value for EC perspective encompasses criteria that assess the internal value of an ICT project. All factors that can contribute to the improvement of the Commission performance are considered to deliver an internal value, including:

• Political value—Whether the IT solution contributes to achieving the Commission’s strategic objectives
• Administrative value—Whether the project will contribute to the work efficiency and effectiveness
• **IT governance value**—Whether the project will contribute to the rationalisation of the Commission’s information systems portfolio

• **Internal users’ value**—The value for the Commission’s employees

The Risks perspective indicates risks related to the need for adequate project management to deliver the ICT project. It also assesses technical, security, business, legal and acceptance-related risks.

The Necessity perspective assesses the need for supporting or developing the project by looking at four subject areas: external demand, internal demand, business needs and technical needs. This perspective tries to answer questions such as ‘Do we really need to undertake this project?’ and ‘Why do we need to support it?’

The Financial Costs and Benefits perspective aims to quantify, in monetary terms, the costs and benefits of the ICT project. The approach consists of identifying every cost for development, maintenance, support, training and infrastructure and the benefits from saved time, reduction in direct operation costs and reduction in IT costs.

**Results of the Value Assessment**

Each qualitative criterion has a pre-assigned weight (from 1 to 3), which is based on its importance. Furthermore, each criterion has four possible assessments for which it receives between 0 and 3 points multiplied by the criterion weight. This approach promotes both a consistent way of evaluation and fine-tuning and precision of the achieved results. The quantitative criteria are expressed in numbers.
The defined formulas are calculated by the spreadsheet application and are consolidated in the Results page of VAST. The Results page is literally one page (printed) that graphically presents the four qualitative perspectives. The quantitative perspective follows a similar approach and is represented in a different graph.

The assessment is based on the already-provided data. However, to have a complete overview of the project, some supplementary information needs to be introduced into the Results page. This supplementary information is comprised of the project executive summary, the addressed business domain, the main stakeholders and the time frame. Adding this information to the value assessment allows the Results page to be used independently from the tool.

Guidelines
VAST is supported by guidelines that explain each criterion addressed in the five value perspectives. The document lists the criteria in the exact same way as the tool so that a user can easily navigate through it. The guidelines also provide general information on how the tool should be used and how to analyse the achieved results and use the tool as a methodological reference. The guidelines are, thus, entirely sufficient for self-training on VAST.

PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS OF THE VALUE ASSESSMENT TOOL
For an evaluation and validation of the tool, three iterations of development were undertaken. The chosen approach and the set of criteria were presented to the Commission IT community, and the tool was provided for free use by the Commission services. Interested parties were requested to provide detailed feedback from tests undertaken. Building upon these remarks, an adjusted and stable version of the tool was produced. Throughout these iterations, the achievement of the requirements put forward has been confirmed. Some limitations of the tool were also revealed.

The IT professionals at the Commission agreed that it is rather difficult to express in a concise manner the benefits of ICT projects, and they agreed that the VAST tool, which allows qualitative and quantitative value to be distinguished, helps to do this. The VAST tool also sheds light on otherwise overlooked areas of projects. For example, if a system aims at serving needs of the European citizens (external user needs), the spotlight would usually focus on the satisfaction of these needs. However, the project might be using innovative technology or be producing reusable modules. These possibilities additionally increase its value, and VAST can demonstrate this.

Utilising the exact same criteria for each assessment allows a valid cross-comparison of similar projects. For example, VAST can be very useful when the business requests a higher number of projects than what the IT entity can feasibly deliver. The use of the tool allows justified prioritisation, and the projects with higher value can be put forward. However, when comparison needs to be done between projects coming from different services, it is considered best that the evaluation be performed via a mediator (e.g., by the CPO).

The objective for the tool to be suitable for both business and the IT professionals was confirmed by the Health and Consumer Protection policies service. It established a practice to perform value assessment with VAST by representatives from the business and IT at the beginning of a project. Going together through each criterion, discussions identified the weak areas of the project. In this way, many concepts are clarified for the two parties, and a true partnership between business and IT can emerge from this practice.

The results of VAST comprise one self-explanatory page, which gives an overview of not only the qualitative and the quantitative value, but also the risks and necessity of the ICT project. Therefore, the tool can be used for communication purposes to engage senior management and stakeholders of the project. The Results page can be attached to other documentation or can be the subject of a specific meeting. Going even further, as found by the service for Trade Policies, VAST can be considered a helpful management tool.

Overall, it has been confirmed by the Commission services that the tool is easy to use and adopt. A project assessment lasts between approximately 30 minutes and one hour (at first use), assuming that the individuals conducting the assessment have familiarised themselves with the standard project documentation required at the Commission or that they are part of the project team on the business or technology side. It was also confirmed that there is no need for specific training, and where difficulties appear, the guidelines provide a sufficient level of clarification. However, the financial costs and benefits perspective was often noted as challenging to fill in, as concepts like saved time and workload are difficult to express in monetary terms.

Other European institutions (beyond the Commission) also showed interest in adopting VAST. However, as the tool is highly tailored to the Commission context, the adoption requires certain customisation, which has been the case with the European Chemical Agency.
Although this method of internal validation of the tool served its purposes, in order to assess VAST’s wider applicability and benefits, a systematic comparison of VAST with similar value frameworks should be conducted.

**SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

Public organisations are increasingly managed with limited resources, and decisions for new investments have to be taken cautiously. At the same time, risky and costly projects have to be undertaken due to the circumstances in which such organisations work: compliance with legal regulations, various stakeholders’ needs, etc. Thus, costly projects, from a financial perspective, can still bring benefits to public organisations and their stakeholders. This is especially true for the traditionally costly and complex ICT projects.

The public sector requires clear differentiation between the benefits of an ICT project (qualitative value) and its financial cost effectiveness (quantitative value). This work addresses precisely this issue. Building upon the well-established value assessment methodologies, VAST, the Value Assessment Tool of the European Commission, was delivered. To validate the work, three iterations of development were undertaken with the Commission’s services, which confirmed the achievement of the tool’s requirements and, thus, revealed its benefits: demonstrated value and benefits of ICT projects, cross-comparison and prioritisation, enhanced communication between project stakeholders, suitability for both the business and IT communities, ease of use, and adoptability.

Despite the benefits of VAST, the process of validation also revealed its weak points. It was observed that the financial costs and benefits perspective is challenging to fill in as it is sometimes hard to express in financial terms some of the benefits of a project (e.g., saved time and workload). Further, comparison between projects emanating from different services may be difficult if the assessment is not performed by a mediator, for example the CPO. The tool is highly tailored to the Commission’s context, and to be adopted by other organisations, it may require customisation. Finally, the tool has been tested only within the Commission, and to prove its general applicability, further tests should be undertaken. However, as it has been developed in the public domain, the tool package is freely available upon request.

Going beyond the scope of this work, the approach of using a tool for the assessment of important, but intangible areas of ICT management was positively accepted within the European Commission. Using the same approach, a tool for evaluation of the IT governance maturity of the organisation is in its pilot phase.

This article was previously published in *Electronic Government and Electronic Participation: Joint Proceedings of Ongoing Research and Projects of IFIP EGOV and ePart 2010 Conferences* (Trauner, Austria, 2010), edited by Jean-Loup Chappellet, Olivier Glassy, Marijn Janssen, Ann Machintosh, Jochen Schell, Eftimios Tambouris and Maria A. Wimmer. Permission to republish was granted by the editors of the IFIP EGOV Conference.
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The CISA® Review Manual 2011 is a comprehensive reference guide designed to assist individuals in preparing for the CISA exam and individuals who wish to understand the roles and responsibilities of an information systems auditor. The manual has evolved over the past editions and now represents the most current, comprehensive, globally peer-reviewed information systems (IS) audit, assurance, security, and control resource available, based on the recently developed 2011 CISA job practice.

To assist individuals with the development of a successful study plan, ISACA® offers several study aids and review courses.

www.isaca.org/elearning
www.isaca.org/cisareview

Section One is an overview that provides:
- Definitions for the five new areas
- Objectives for each area
- Descriptions of the tasks
- A map of the relationship of each task to the knowledge statements
- A reference guide for the knowledge statements, including the relevant concepts and explanations
- References to specific content in the CISA Review Manual
- Sample practice questions and explanations of the answers
- Suggested resources for further study

Section Two consists of reference material and content that supports the knowledge statements. Material included is pertinent for CISA candidates’ knowledge and/or understanding when preparing for the CISA certification exam. In addition, the CISA Review Manual 2011 includes brief chapter summaries focused on the main topics and case studies to assist candidates in understanding current practices. Also included are definitions of terms most commonly found on the exam.

This manual can be used as a stand-alone document for individual study or as a guide or reference for study groups and chapters conducting local review courses.

The 2011 edition has been developed and is organized to assist candidates in understanding essential concepts and studying the following job practice areas:
- The Process of Auditing Information Systems
- Governance and Management of IT
- Information Systems Acquisition, Development and Implementation

- Information Systems Operations, Maintenance and Support
- Protection of Information Assets

Section One is an overview that provides:
- Definitions for the five new areas
- Objectives for each area
- Descriptions of the tasks
- A map of the relationship of each task to the knowledge statements
- A reference guide for the knowledge statements, including the relevant concepts and explanations
- References to specific content in the CISA Review Manual
- Sample practice questions and explanations of the answers
- Suggested resources for further study

Section Two consists of reference material and content that supports the knowledge statements. Material included is pertinent for CISA candidates’ knowledge and/or understanding when preparing for the CISA certification exam. In addition, the CISA Review Manual 2011 includes brief chapter summaries focused on the main topics and case studies to assist candidates in understanding current practices. Also included are definitions of terms most commonly found on the exam.

This manual can be used as a stand-alone document for individual study or as a guide or reference for study groups and chapters conducting local review courses.

The 2011 edition has been developed and is organized to assist candidates in understanding essential concepts and studying the following job practice areas:
- The Process of Auditing Information Systems
- Governance and Management of IT
- Information Systems Acquisition, Development and Implementation

- Information Systems Operations, Maintenance and Support
- Protection of Information Assets

CISA® Review Questions, Answers & Explanations Manual 2011
ISACA

The CISA® Review Questions, Answers & Explanations Manual 2011 consists of 900 multiple-choice study questions that have previously appeared in the CISA Review Questions, Answers & Explanations Manual 2010 and the 2010 Supplement. Many questions have been revised or completely rewritten to recognize changes based on the new CISA job practice, and to be more representative of the current CISA exam question format, and/or provide further clarity or explanation of the correct answer. These questions are not actual exam items, but are intended to provide CISA candidates with an understanding of the type and structure of questions and content that have previously appeared on the exam. This publication is ideal to use in conjunction with the CISA Review Manual 2011.

To assist candidates in maximizing study efforts, questions are presented in the following two ways:
- Sorted by job practice area
- Scrambled as a sample 200-question exam

Questions are intended to provide CISA candidates with an understanding of the type and structure of questions that have typically appeared on past exams, and were prepared specifically for use in studying for the CISA exam.

To assist candidates with an organized plan of study, To order CISA review material for the June/December 2011 exams, visit the ISACA web site at www.isaca.org/cisabooks or see pages S1-S8 in this Journal.

Please note the following system requirements:
- 400 MHz Pentium processor or equivalent (minimum); 1 GHz Pentium processor or equivalent (recommended)
- Microsoft.net Framework 3.5
- 1 GB RAM or higher
- One hard drive with 250 MB of available space (flash/thumb drives not supported)
- Mouse
- CD-ROM drive

CISA® Practice Question Database v11
ISACA

The CISA® Practice Question Database v11 combines the CISA Review Questions, Answers & Explanations Manual 2011 with the CISA Review Questions, Answers & Explanations Manual 2011 Supplement into one comprehensive 1,000-question study guide. Sample exams with randomly selected questions can be taken and the results viewed by job practice, allowing for concentrated study one area at a time. Additionally, questions generated during a study session are sorted based upon previous scoring history, allowing CISA candidates to easily and quickly identify their strengths and weaknesses, and focus their study efforts accordingly. Other features provide the ability to select sample exams by specific job practice areas, view questions that were previously answered incorrectly and vary the length of study sessions. The database software is available in CD-ROM format or as a download.

CISA Online Review Course
ISACA

A complete web-based exam review course is available at www.isaca.org/elearning.
By Myles Mellor

www.themecrosswords.com

ACROSS
1 Security investment, abbr.
3 Superior security rating
10 ___ architecture
11 Sign, a deal
12 Risk-averse attitude that legacy security professionals should avoid (three words)
13 Key enabler for policy impact, transparency and compliance
14 You, old way
15 Opinion
17 Middle of the second quarter
18 The European Commission’s Directorate-General for Informatics, abbr.
21 Memory
23 Overall software integration for all of the organization’s processes (abbr.)
24 Russia’s Internet symbol
26 Powerful tools in the risk manager’s armory
28 Milliampere, for short
29 Circle segment
32 Image on a radar screen
33 Mobile device that brings a new set of security challenges
35 Smallest
37 Between you and ___
38 RSS for example
41 Seventh Greek letter
44 Admit wrongdoing
45 Examined

DOWN
1 Excellent backup guarantees it
2 Problem ___
3 Certain ___
4 Purpose
5 Half, as a percentage
6 Many ISRM programs were created as part of technology organizations and are reported on to the ___
7 Buy ___
8 Squeezing (out)
9 Add, with up
10 Pay for
11 Functioned as
12 Lowest standards required
13 Sag
14 Internet addresses
15 Follow
16 Hosts
17 Emotional intelligence, for short
18 Web site letters
19 Out of the ordinary
20 Important qualification for an assessor position
21 Predict
22 SSAE 16 for the service auditor changes “audit” to ___
23 Prepared
24 Customer service data, abbr.
25 Pixel maybe
26 Money outlaid
27 Banner, e.g.
28 Innovative
29 The expansion of SAS 70 from AICPA
30 ID info

(Answers on page 54)
CISM® Review Manual 2011—ISACA

Newly updated, the CISM Review Manual 2011 is a comprehensive reference guide designed to assist individuals in preparing for the CISM exam and individuals who wish to understand the roles and responsibilities of an information security manager. The manual has been continually enhanced over the past six editions and is a current, comprehensive, peer-reviewed information security management global resource.

The 2011 edition assists helps candidates study and understand essential concepts in the following job practice areas:

- Information security governance
- Information risk management
- Information security program development

The CISM Review Manual 2011 retains the easy-to-navigate format first introduced in 2010. Each of the book’s five chapters has been divided into two sections for focused study. The first section contains the definitions and objectives for the five areas, with the corresponding tasks and knowledge statements that are tested on the exam.

Section one of each chapter is an overview that provides:

- Definitions for the five areas
- Objectives for each area
- Descriptions of the tasks
- A map of the relationship of each task to the knowledge statements
- A reference guide for the knowledge statements, including the relevant concepts and explanations
- References to specific content in section two for each knowledge statement
- Sample practice questions and explanations of the answers
- Suggested resources for further study

Section two of each chapter consists of reference material and content that support the knowledge statements. The material enhances CISM candidates’ knowledge and/or understanding when preparing for the CISM certification exam. Also included are definitions of terms most commonly found on the exam.

This manual is effective as a stand-alone document for individual study and as a guide or reference for study groups and chapters conducting local review courses. It is also a primary reference resource for information security managers seeking global guidance on effective approaches to governance, risk management, program development, management and incident response.


The CISM Review Questions, Answers & Explanations Manual 2011 compiles 650 multiple-choice study questions that have previously appeared in the CISM Review Questions, Answers & Explanations Manual 2009. The 2009 Supplement and the 2010 Supplement into one effective resource. These questions are not actual exam items, but are intended and are presented to examine the CISM candidate with an understanding of the type and structure of questions and content that have previously appeared on the exam.

To help exam candidates maximize—and customize—their study efforts, questions are presented in the following two ways:

- Job practice area—Questions, answers and explanations are sorted by the current CISM job practice areas. This allows the CISM candidate to refer to questions that focus on a particular area as well as to evaluate comprehension of the topics covered within each practice area.
- Sample 200-question exam—200 of the 650 questions included in the manual are selected to represent a full-length CISM exam, with questions chosen in the same percentages as the current CISM job practice areas. Candidates are urged to use this sample test to simulate an actual exam, but also to determine their strengths and weaknesses in order to identify areas that require further study. Answer sheets and an answer/reference key for the sample exam are also included. All sample test questions have been cross-referenced to the questions sorted by practice area, making it convenient for the user to refer back to the explanations of the correct answers.


Newly created each year, the CISM Review Questions, Answers & Explanations Manual 2011 Supplement features 100 new sample questions, answers and explanations to help candidates effectively prepare for the 2011 CISM exam. These new questions are designed to be similar to actual exam items. The questions are intended to provide CISM candidates with an understanding of the type and structure of questions that have typically appeared on past exams, and were prepared specifically for use in studying for the CISM exam. This publication is ideal to use in conjunction with the CISM Review Manual 2011.

To order CISM review material for the June/December 2011 exams, visit the ISAAC Web site at www.isaca.org/cismbooks or see pages S1-S8 in this Journal.

CISM® Practice Question Database v11—ISACA

The comprehensive CISM Practice Question Database v11 combines the CISM Review Questions, Answers & Explanations Manual 2011 with the CISM Review Questions, Answers & Explanations Manual 2011 Supplement into a single 750-question study guide. Exam candidates can take sample exams with randomly selected questions and view the results by job practice, allowing for concentrated study in particular areas. Additionally, questions generated during a study session are sorted based on previous scoring history, allowing CISM candidates to easily and quickly identify their strengths and weaknesses, and focus their study efforts accordingly. Other features provide the ability to select sample exams by specific job practice areas, view questions that were previously answered incorrectly and vary the length of study sessions, giving candidates the ability to customize their study approach to fit their needs. The database software is available in CD-ROM format or as a download.

Please note the following system requirements:

- 400 MHz Pentium processor or equivalent (minimum);
- 1 GHz Pentium processor or equivalent (recommended)
- Microsoft .net Framework 3.5

- 512 MB RAM or higher
- One hard drive with 250 MB of available space
- Mouse
- CD-ROM drive

To order CISM review material for the June/December 2011 exams, visit the ISAAC Web site at www.isaca.org/cismbooks or see pages S1-S8 in this Journal.
An Introduction to ICT Continuity Based on BS 25777
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Organizations have various ways of judging business success. In the public sector, one success criterion is quality of service to the citizens. In the private sector, growth of market share is a success measure. In all sectors, a condition for success is that business should continue to function in the face of fire, flood and other disasters. The discipline that ensures that the business can continue is business continuity management (BCM).1

In most organizations, the processes that deliver products and services depend on information and communication technology (ICT). Disruptions to ICT can, therefore, constitute a strategic risk, damaging the organization’s ability to operate and undermining its reputation. The consequences of a disruptive incident vary and can be far-reaching, and they may not be immediately obvious at the time of the incident.

In 2008, the British Standards Institution (BSI) released BS 25777:2008, Information and Communications Technology Continuity Management: Code of Practice, to help organizations plan and implement an ICT continuity strategy. BS 25777 gives recommendations for ICT continuity management within the framework of BCM provided by BS 25999-1:2006, Business Continuity Management: Code of Practice. This article provides an introduction to the key elements of ICT continuity based on BS 25777.

THE CONCEPT OF BUSINESS CONTINUITY

BCM is a relatively new management discipline that has become increasingly important given the turbulent environment in which organizations now find themselves.

The concept of business continuity was developed in the mid-1980s as new way of managing business risks. The basis of BCM is that the key responsibility of company directors is to ensure the continuation of business functionality at all times and under any circumstances.

BCM grew out of requirements in the early 1970s to provide computer disaster recovery for information systems (IS). Traditional disaster planning had concentrated on the restoration of facilities after a major incident such as the loss of a building or plant through fire or flood or the loss of computing or telecommunications throughout an enterprise. Disaster recovery plans, in general, are written on the basis of recovery after an event.

BCM is about prevention—it is not just a cure. It is not only about being able to deal with incidents when they occur and, thus, prevent crisis and subsequent disaster, it is also about establishing a culture within organizations that seeks to build greater resilience to ensure the continuity of product and service delivery to clients and customers.2

BCM is focused on entire business processes rather than on particular assets, such as IT systems, because, in order to operate, an organization must continue to execute its critical business processes. These processes may be contained within one business function, or they may integrate or impact a number of them. Recovery of IT systems alone will not keep such business processes running if staff do not have proper working conditions, if critical paper records have been destroyed, or if the organization cannot communicate with its customers and suppliers.3

THE CONCEPT OF ICT CONTINUITY

Historically, business continuity planning (BCP) has resided in the IT department of most organizations. For this reason, most companies have some disaster recovery alternatives in place for their IT systems. The most common disaster recovery alternative used is offsite data storage, in which data are regularly backed up to tape or disk and kept at a remote location. Although several other technological alternatives for IT recovery are available, especially for larger
corporations, such as hot and cold sites, electronic vaulting, shadowing, mirroring, and disk-to-disk remote copy, they are not used by many corporations. In this tough economic environment, it is very tempting to cut resources for BCP. Many enterprises mistakenly view BCP as an insurance policy for which they will likely never have to place a claim.4

ICT continuity supports the overall BCM process of an organization. BCM seeks to ensure that the organization’s processes are protected from disruption and that the organization is able to respond positively and effectively when disruption occurs. The organization sets out its BCM priorities, and within that context, ICT activities take place. ICT continuity ensures that required ICT services are resilient and can be recovered to the predetermined levels within the timescale required and agreed to by top management. Thus, effective BCM depends on ICT continuity to ensure that the organization can meet its objectives at all times (see figure 1), particularly during times of disruption.5

Figure 1—Relationship Between ICT Continuity and BCM


THE FOCUS OF ICT CONTINUITY
ICT continuity focuses not only on the likelihood and impact of disruptive incidents, but also on the ability of the organization to detect and respond to the occurrences of such incidents. This requires the organizations to monitor their ICT services to ensure that:

- They are resilient and recoverable at the appropriate level
- Any unexpected event within a service is detected, addressed and investigated in a timely manner
- Dependencies between ICT services and external factors are known and used in assessing risk and the impact of a change
- Dependencies on the technical components are known and used in assessing risk and the impact of change

ICT continuity processes and solutions are also intended to ensure that legal obligations (such as protecting personal and otherwise sensitive data) are not breached.

PRINCIPLES OF ICT CONTINUITY
ICT continuity is based on six key principles:7

1. Protect—Protecting the ICT environment from environmental failures, hardware failures, operations errors, malicious attack and natural disasters is critical to maintaining the desired levels of system availability for an organization.
2. Detect—Detecting incidents at the earliest opportunity minimizes the impact to services, reduces the recovery efforts and preserves the quality of service.
3. React—Reacting to an incident in the most appropriate manner leads to a more efficient recovery and minimizes any downtime. Reacting poorly can result in a minor incident escalating into something more serious.
4. Recover—Identifying and implementing the appropriate recovery strategy will ensure the timely resumption of services and maintain the integrity of data. Understanding the recovery priorities allows the most critical services to be reinstated first. Services of a less-critical nature may be reinstated at a later time or, in some circumstances, not at all.
5. Operate—Operating in disaster recovery mode until return to normal is possible may require some time and necessitate “scaling up” disaster recovery operations to support increasing business volumes that need to be serviced over time.

6. Return—Devising a strategy for every IT continuity plan allows an organization to migrate back from disaster recovery mode to a position in which it can support normal business.

EVALUATING THREATS TO CRITICAL ACTIVITIES

In a BCM context, the level of risk should be understood specifically in respect to the organization’s critical activities and the risk of a disruption to these. Critical activities are underpinned by resources such as people, premises, technology, information, supplies and stakeholders. The organization should understand the threats to these resources, the vulnerabilities of each resource, and the impact of a threat if it became an incident and caused a business disruption.

Which risk assessment approach is chosen is entirely the decision of the organization, but it is important that the approach is suitable and appropriate to address all of the organization’s requirements.

As a result of a business impact analysis (BIA) and the risk assessment, the organization should identify measures that:

- Reduce the likelihood of a disruption
- Shorten the period of disruption
- Limit the impact of a disruption on the organization’s key products and services

These measures are known as loss mitigation and risk treatment. Loss mitigation strategies can be used in conjunction with other options, as not all risks can be prevented or reduced to an acceptable level.8

UNDERSTANDING THE ICT REQUIREMENTS FOR BUSINESS CONTINUITY

As part of its BCM program, the organization should categorize its activities according to their priority for recovery. Top management should agree on the organization’s business continuity requirements.

For each critical process, the organization needs to determine the longest amount of time the process can be unavailable before that unavailability threatens the survival of the business. This figure is known as the maximum tolerable downtime (MTD).

After the organization sets the MTD for each critical process, it needs to establish some specific recovery objectives for each process. The two primary recovery objectives that organizations set in a BIA are:

1. Recovery time objective (RTO)—Target time set for resumption of product, service or activity delivery after an incident

2. Recovery point objective (RPO)—Point in time at which data have to be recovered to resume services

The organization should define its ICT services, and ICT service names should be meaningful to the organization.

The ICT services that are required to support achievement of the RTO for each critical activity, as prioritized by the BCM program, should be identified. The organization should document the list of critical ICT services, together with an RTO and RPO for each service. Some indication of the ICT service minimum capacity required at reinstatement and how quickly this capacity may need to be increased could also be necessary. The ICT service RTO should generally be less than the RTO for the critical activity it supports. (This may not be the case when the business continuity strategy calls for an interim measure, such as a manual procedure, instead of depending entirely on the ICT service.)

Top management should agree on the list of critical ICT services and their associated ICT continuity requirements. For each critical ICT service listed and agreed on by top management, the organization should describe and document the ICT components that make up the end-to-end service and how they are configured or linked to deliver each service. This analysis should consider physical and logical configurations. The normal ICT service delivery environment and the ICT continuity service delivery environment configurations should be documented.

The current continuity capability should be reviewed for each critical ICT service, from a prevention perspective, to assess risk of service interruption or degradation (e.g., single points of failure) and to highlight opportunities to improve ICT service resilience and, thus, the likelihood and/or impact of service disruption. It may also highlight opportunities to enable early detection and reaction to ICT service disruption. The organization can decide whether there is a business case to invest in identified opportunities to improve service resilience. This service risk assessment may also advise the business case for enhancing ICT service recovery capability.9
IDENTIFYING GAPS
For each critical ICT service, the current ICT continuity service delivery environment configuration should be compared to the normal ICT service delivery environment, from a recovery perspective, to identify gaps or mismatches that may compromise ICT service recovery, such as inadequate data storage capacity.

Gaps identified among critical ICT service continuity capabilities and business continuity requirements should be documented. These gaps may indicate additional resources that each critical ICT service will require during recovery, but that are not already in place.

DETERMINING CHOICES
The organization should consider a range of options for each critical ICT service. The organization may include one or more or all of the following strategies.10

Business Continuity
Continuity strategies seek to improve the organization’s resilience to a disruption by ensuring critical activities continue at, or are recovered to, an acceptable minimum level and at time frames stipulated within the BIA.

Acceptance
A risk may be acceptable without any further action being taken. Even if it is not acceptable, the ability to do anything about some risks could be limited, or the cost of taking any action could be disproportionate to the potential benefit gained. In these cases, the response may be to tolerate the existing level of risk if top management deems the risk to be acceptable and within the organization’s risk appetite. In some circumstances, the impact of a risk may be outside the organization’s normal risk appetite, but due to the low likelihood of the risk occurring and/or the uneconomic cost of control, top management may accept the risk.

Transfer
For some risks, the best response may be to transfer them. This may be done by conventional insurance or contractual arrangements, or it may be done by paying a third party to take the risk in another way. Risks may be transferred to reduce the risk exposure of the organization or because another organization is more capable of effectively managing the risks. It is important to note that some risks are not fully transferable; in particular, it is generally not possible to transfer reputational risk, even if the delivery of a service is contracted out.

Change, Suspend or Terminate
In some circumstances, it may be appropriate to change, suspend or terminate the ICT service, product, activity, function or process. This option should be considered only when there is no conflict with the organization’s objectives, statutory compliance or stakeholder expectation.

EXERCISING AND TESTING
An organization’s ICT continuity plans cannot be considered reliable until exercised. An exercise program may involve a number of tests.

The organization should exercise not only the recovery of the ICT service, but also the service protection and resilience elements to determine whether:

• The service can be protected, maintained and recovered regardless of the incident severity
• The continuity arrangements can minimize the impact to the business

The exercise is a businesswide activity and not just the domain of the ICT department. The ICT department may retain the planning and execution aspects of the exercise, but the organization still has a key role to play.

CONCLUSION
All activity is susceptible to disruption from internal and external events such as technology failure, fire, flood, utility failure, illness and malicious attack. ICT continuity provides the capability to react before a disruption occurs or on detection of one or a series of related events that become incidents, and to respond and recover when those incidents result in disruption.

ICT continuity is integral to ICT strategy and ICT service management, which align to organizational strategy. It is the element of ICT strategy and service management that enables an organization to continue to meet its goals and deliver its products and services when adverse conditions occur.

ICT continuity supports the overall BCM process of an organization. BCM seeks to ensure that the organization’s processes are protected from disruption and that the
organization is able to respond positively and effectively when disruption occurs. The organization sets out its BCM priorities, and it is within this context that ICT activities take place.

ICT continuity management and BCM form an important part of effective management, sound governance and organizational prudence. Top management is responsible for maintaining the ability of the organization to continue to function in the face of disruption. Many organizations also have a statutory or regulatory duty to maintain effective risk-based controls, including BCM. BS 25777 will help any organization plan and implement an ICT continuity strategy within the framework of BCM as provided by BS 25999.
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Q  What should be the guiding principles for determining and reaching agreement on the optimal percentage of operations that ought to be recovered in the event of a contingency by our outsourcing service provider? I am aware of business impact analysis (BIA) and risk assessments (RA) as tools and ways and means to help determine this—both of which I consider to be mere theoretical inputs. From a practical point of view, based on your experience, what should be our approach to determining and reaching agreement on the optimal percentage of business operations that must be or can be recovered in the event of a crisis? In other words, what percentage of the operations must be recovered by the service provider at notional costs, and at what point should the service provider start charging us for continuity arrangements?

A  Good questions. While you have said that you are well aware of BIA and RA processes, your question is not clear on a number of things and, hence, I am compelled to make assumptions. You have not said the nature of services that you have outsourced, nor is it clear to me whether you have a single vendor from whom you source the services, or whether you have multiple vendors.

First, let us try to list the guiding principles behind the determination of the optimal percentage of operations that can be recovered at notional costs; of course, the list is not exhaustive:

- **Nature of the business**—This plays an important role in the decision. If the business is something that operates round the clock, 365 days a year, like an online bank, then the need for recovery strategy can be different from that of another company that is engaged in a manufacturing business, for example, in which the production process can wait for a day or two, if circumstances demand. Another important consideration is whether the nature of the internal service includes offering some services to other entities that are dependent on the company’s continual functioning.

- **Number of service providers**—Does the organisation have a single service provider that operates from a single location, or is the provider capable of offering services from multiple locations/cities within a country or many countries? Or, does the organisation procure the same services from multiple providers? There can also be scenarios in which multiple providers offer different components of the overall business services.

- **Level of automation**—How people-intensive are the business operations? By people-intensiveness, I mean the quantum of people required to carry out the business processes to meet the business objectives. The more automated the business processes, the less people-intensive the operations become.

- **IT dependency**—Automation and dependency on IT pose different sets of challenges. Availability of systems, applications and processes to carry out the business processes is essential.

Given all of these guiding principles, in an ideal scenario, the service provider may offer to recover approximately 10 to 15 percent of the outsourced operations within about four to six hours at notional cost as part of standard offerings. Anything over and above this may be subject to additional cost. This is the standard offering that I have come across with different service providers.

When the service provider caters to multiple clients from the same facility using a shared infrastructure, recovery operations will be a challenge in terms of prioritising clients. The outsourcing service provider cannot determine the priority for recovery based on the revenue earned from each client. This would mean that the client who has the smallest deal in terms of revenue would be accorded the lowest priority, which is never an acceptable scenario. Every client will be left worried in this scenario because no one wants to be accorded priorities on a relative basis. Buyers of the outsourcing services may always want to be dealt with on absolute terms.
It is true that some clients are more important than other clients, but in general, clients should receive specific services in the event of contingency as per the contract provisions and commitments signed with them originally. Outsourcing service providers normally offer special recovery arrangements in the event of a contingency at an extra cost.

The question in front of us is: How much extra cost should we be prepared to pay to ensure continuity of service arrangements? One of the key benefits of outsourcing is that it results in reduction in costs of internal operations. If so, why spend money to procure services to be delivered in the event of a contingency, if they are not required from a business point of view? This is the point at which the RA and the BIA play a key role. You need to be able to make an informed decision on potential risk scenarios that can be material to your operations.

I cannot prescribe an absolute number—in terms of percentage—for you to consider while determining your recovery arrangements. The trend in the industry, based on my experience and that of my colleagues from whom I sought input, is arrangements in which the vendor realistically restores 10 to 15 percent of the operations immediately. In some instances, it could go up to as much as 25 percent, depending on the industry and the scenario. If someone is promising you 100 percent recovery immediately, there is enough scope to reduce your outsourcing cost by reducing the contingency service arrangements component of the outsourcing package.
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TRUE OR FALSE

**DUTTA AND KORITALA ARTICLE**

1. Many organizations have, or are now in the process of developing, strategies to supplement their manual and costly internal controls with automated, reliable and cost-effective controls and controls solutions to effectively mitigate risk.

2. Controls solutions that focus on only one environment ignore a true enterprise reach, failing to deliver the comprehensive solution to mitigate end-to-end risk.

3. In evaluating the organization’s commitment to enhanced products, the following factors need to be considered: the actual amount of revenue invested in product development and the number of major and minor product releases each year, including enhancements and fixes.

**SALIDO ARTICLE**

4. The proposed approach to data governance for security, privacy, confidentiality and compliance calls for modifying or replacing the organization’s existing information security management systems or IT governance processes.

5. Security standards and control frameworks tend to focus primarily on protecting the overall IT infrastructure and on aligning investments in that infrastructure with the organization’s business goals.

6. Organizations should place as much emphasis on security and privacy for data that are being transferred as they do for the original data set.

7. Organizations also need to systematically evaluate whether the technologies that protect their data confidentiality, integrity and availability are sufficient to reduce risk to the lowest level.

**HAMIDOVIC ARTICLE**

8. In Bosnia and Herzegovina, the taxation retention period for the original application for entry into a unified system is 10 years from the date of submission of the application, while the data entered into the database in electronic form have to be kept permanently.

9. Traditionally, corporations have considered the evidentiary implications of electronic documents only when they are required for litigation, or when forensic practitioners have focused on collecting IT evidence as artifacts of an investigation.

10. ISO 15489 may help organizations plan and implement an ICT continuity strategy.

11. One way to proactively address electronic records management is to follow a standardized records management process, such as the one recommended in ISO 27001:2005.

**STRAT ARTICLE**

12. Building a business case for a records management initiative begins with providing the description of the scope of the records management initiative, along with details of the future state to be achieved at the end of the initiative.

13. A cross-disciplinary team will aid in collecting the information needed to create a business case for investing in records management.

14. Expanding how and where records management is applied is being recognized as an enabler for reducing storage costs and improving the efficiency of routine operations.

**GARBER ARTICLE**

15. Audits and separate reviews determine internal control effectiveness continuously.

16. The degree of monitoring key controls may vary based on the relative risk and value of each control.

17. Indirect information such as key performance indicators (KPIs) can provide an excellent source for determining potential indirect monitoring measures.

18. Indirect information can provide positive assurance that a control is operating effectively.
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The specialised nature of IT audit and assurance and the skills necessary to perform such audits require standards that apply specifically to IT audit and assurance. One of the goals of ISACA® is to advance globally applicable standards to meet its vision. The development and dissemination of the IT Audit and Assurance Standards are a cornerstone of the ISACA professional contribution to the audit and assurance community. The framework for the IT Audit and Assurance Standards provides multiple levels of guidance:

**Standards** define mandatory requirements for IT audit and assurance.

They inform:
- IT audit and assurance professionals of the minimum level of acceptable performance required to meet the professional responsibilities set out in the ISACA Code of Professional Ethics
- Management and other interested parties of the profession’s expectations concerning the work of practitioners
- Holders of the Certified Information Systems Auditor™ (CISA®) designation of requirements. Failure to comply with these standards may result in an investigation into the CISA holder’s conduct by the ISACA Board of Directors or appropriate ISACA committee and, ultimately, in disciplinary action.

**Guidelines** provide guidance in applying IT Audit and Assurance Standards. The IT audit and assurance professional should consider them in determining how to achieve implementation of the standards, use professional judgement in their application and be prepared to justify any departure. The objective of the IT Audit and Assurance Guidelines is to provide further information on how to comply with the IT Audit and Assurance Standards.

**Tools and Techniques** provide examples of procedures an IT audit and assurance professional might follow in an audit engagement. The procedure documents provide information on how to meet the standards when performing IT auditing work, but do not set requirements. The objective of the IT Audit and Assurance Tools and Techniques is to provide further information on how to comply with the IT Audit and Assurance Standards.

COBIT® is an IT governance framework and supporting toolset that allows managers to bridge the gaps amongst control requirements, technical issues and business risks. COBIT enables clear policy development and good practice for IT control throughout enterprises. It emphasises regulatory compliance, helps enterprises increase the value attained from IT, enables alignment and simplifies implementation of the COBIT framework’s concepts. COBIT is intended for use by business and IT management as well as IT audit and assurance professionals; therefore, its usage enables the understanding of business objectives and communication of good practices and recommendations to be made around a commonly understood and well-respected framework. COBIT is available for download on the ISACA web site, www.isaca.org/cobit.

Links to current guidance are posted on the standards page, www.isaca.org/standards.

The titles of issued standards documents are:

**IT Audit and Assurance Standards**
- S1 Audit Charter Effective 1 January 2005
- S2 Independence Effective 1 January 2005
- S3 Professional Ethics and Standards Effective 1 January 2005
- S4 Professional Competence Effective 1 January 2005
- S5 Planning Effective 1 January 2005
- S6 Performance of Audit Work Effective 1 January 2005
- S7 Reporting Effective 1 January 2005
- S8 Follow-up Activities Effective 1 January 2005
- S9 Irregularities and Illegal Acts Effective 1 September 2005
- S10 IT Governance Effective 1 September 2005
- S11 Use of Risk Assessment in Audit Planning Effective 1 November 2005
- S12 Audit Materiality Effective 1 July 2006
- S13 Using the Work of Other Experts Effective 1 July 2006
- S14 Audit Evidence Effective 1 July 2006
- S15 IT Controls Effective 1 February 2008
- S16 E-commerce Effective 1 February 2008

**IT Audit and Assurance Guidelines**
- G1 Using the Work of Other Experts Effective 1 March 2008
- G2 Audit Evidence Requirement Effective 1 May 2008
- G3 Use of Computer-assisted Audit Techniques (CAATs) Effective 1 March 2008
- G4 Outsourcing of IS Activities to Other Organisations Effective 1 May 2008
- G5 Audit Charter Effective 1 February 2008
- G6 Materiality Concepts for Auditing Information Systems Effective 1 May 2008
- G7 Due Professional Care Effective 1 March 2008
- G8 Audit Documentation Effective 1 March 2008
- G9 Audit Considerations for Irregularities Effective 1 September 2008
- G10 Audit Sampling Effective 1 August 2008
- G11 Effect of Pervasive IS Controls Effective 1 August 2008
- G12 Organisational Relationship and Independence Effective 1 August 2008
- G13 Use of Risk Assessment in Audit Planning Effective 1 August 2008
- G14 Application Systems Review Effective 1 October 2008
- G15 Audit Planning Revised Effective 1 May 2010
- G16 Effect of Third Parties on an Organisation’s IT Controls Effective 1 March 2009
- G17 Effect of Non-audit Role on the IS Auditor’s Independence Effective 1 May 2010
- G18 IT Governance Effective 1 May 2010
- G19 Withdrawn Effective 1 September 2008
- G20 Reporting Effective 16 September 2010
- G21 Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) Systems Review Effective 16 September 2010
- G23 System Development Life Cycle (SDLC) Reviews Effective 1 August 2003
- G24 Internet Banking Effective 1 August 2003
- G25 Review of Virtual Private Networks Effective 1 July 2004
- G26 Business Process Re-engineering (BPR) Project Reviews Effective 1 July 2004
- G27 Mobile Computing Effective 1 September 2004
- G28 Computer Forensics Effective 1 September 2004
- G29 Post-implementation Review Effective 1 January 2005
- G30 Competence Effective 1 June 2005
- G31 Privacy Effective 1 June 2005
- G32 Business Continuity Plan (BCP) Review From IT Perspective Effective 1 September 2005
- G33 General Considerations for the Use of the Internet Effective 1 March 2006
- G34 Responsibility, Authority and Accountability Effective 1 March 2006
- G35 Follow-up Activities Effective 1 March 2006
- G36 Biometric Controls Effective 1 February 2007
- G37 Configuration and Release Management Effective 1 November 2007
- G38 Access Controls Effective 1 February 2008
- G39 IT Organisation Effective 1 May 2008
- G41 Return on Security Investment (ROSI) Effective 1 May 2010
- G42 Continuous Assurance Effective 1 May 2010

**IT Audit and Assurance Tools and Techniques**
- P1 IS Risk Assessment Measurement Effective 1 July 2002
- P2 Digital Signatures and Key Management Effective 1 July 2002
- P3 Intrusion Detection Systems (IDS) Review Effective 1 August 2003
- P4 Malicious Logic Effective 1 August 2003
- P5 Control Risk Self-assessment Effective 1 August 2005
- P6 Firewalls Effective 1 August 2003
- P7 Irregularities and Illegal Acts Effective 1 December 2003
- P8 Security Assessment—Penetration Testing and Vulnerability Analysis Effective 1 September 2004
- P9 Evaluation of Management Controls Over Encryption Methodologies Effective 1 January 2005
- P10 Business Application Change Control Effective 1 October 2005
- P11 Electronic Funds Transfer (EFT) Effective 1 May 2007

**Standards for Information System Control Professionals**
- S10 Statement of Scope
- S10.010 Responsibility, Authority and Accountability
- S20 Independence
- S20.010 Professional Independence
- S20.020 Organisational Relationship
- S30 Professional Ethics and Standards
- S30.010 Code of Professional Ethics
- S30.020 Due Professional Care
- S40 Competence
- S40.010 Skills and Knowledge
- S40.020 Continuing Professional Education
- S50 Planning
- S50.010 Control Planning
- S60 Performance of Work
- S60.010 Supervision
- S60.020 Evidence
- S60.030 Effectiveness
- S70 Reporting
- S70.010 Periodic Reporting
- S70.020 Follow-up Activities
- S70.010 Follow-up
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COBIT® Quickstart is specifically designed to assist in rapid and easy adoption of the essential elements of COBIT. COBIT Quickstart is a summarized version of the COBIT® IT resources, focusing on the most crucial IT processes, control objectives and metrics, all presented in an easy-to-follow format to help users gain the benefits of COBIT® quickly. COBIT Quickstart was designed as a baseline for many small to medium enterprises, but it is also suitable for large organizations as a tool to accelerate adoption of IT governance best practices. Quickstart will help you to rapidly understand the important information and management priorities. It can be followed by nontechnical people or managers who want principles, not rules. It is a useful supplement to the more comprehensive COBIT® guidance. 2007, 58 pages. CBQ2

COBIT USER GUIDE FOR SERVICE MANAGERS

IT Governance Institute

This is the first of a planned series aimed at providing specific guidance on how to use COBIT® when performing a particular role. The first publication is focused on the service manager, as it is known that this is a significant role where there is a high demand for guidance. Each guide will highlight a specific group of COBIT® users and describe how to use COBIT® to support their activities, how to focus on the parts of COBIT® that are most relevant to their role and how COBIT® relates to the best practices and standards that they would typically use in their job. This guide contains an introduction to the business and governance challenges facing service managers, a detailed description of COBIT® and its objectives, case examples, a high level maturity model for the role area, and links to other references. 2009, 54 pages. CUG

IMPLEMENTING AND CONTINUALLY IMPROVING IT GOVERNANCE

Replacing the popular IT Governance Implementation Guide, this publication assists enterprises in establishing and sustaining an effective approach to governing IT.

New features include Risk IT-related content as well as typical pain points that new or experienced practitioners face early in a COBIT® governance initiative, this guide identifies trigger events that indicate the need for intervention, as well as implementation challenges that enterprises might face. It also describes how to use COBIT® Val IT and Risk IT components for critical support. 2009, 78 pages. ITG9

IT ASSURANCE GUIDE: USING COBIT

IT Governance Institute

Management needs assurance that the desired IT goals and objectives are being met and that key controls are in place and effective. The IT Assurance Guide introduces the various types of IT assurance activities that exist and how COBIT® can be used to support such activities. It provides invaluable guidance for assurance professionals and a structured assurance approach linked to the COBIT® framework that provides a common language and criteria for business and IT people. This approach facilitates a shared identification of control priorities and improvements.

2007, 269 pages. CBAA
IT IS the most complete collection of proven management practices and techniques for investment in IT-enabled business change and innovation. It allows enterprises to increase return on their investments and generate business value. IT helps enterprises to make better decisions on where to invest in business change—ensuring they are doing the right things the right way, doing them well and getting better returns from them. Val IT fosters the partnership between IT and the rest of business.

The Val IT Framework 2.0
ISACA

This publication is the foundation document in the Val IT series. It presents practices for three domains:
• Value Governance
• Portfolio Management
• Investment Management

Each of these domains is broken down into key management processes and a number of key management practices.

This edition simplifies the management processes and practices, and extends the Val IT Framework beyond new investments to include IT services, assets and other resources. It also aligns terminology with COBIT and adds a management guidelines section, similar to COBIT, which provides a greater level of detail on the Val IT processes, key management practices and maturity models for each Val IT domain. 2008, 146 pages. VITF2

Getting Started with Value Management
ISACA

This is a guide that outlines “how to implement” Val IT and compliments the The Val IT Framework which describes “what to do.” Getting Started With Value Management is made up of six chapters that flow in a logical sequence moving from typical starting points, pain points or “trigger points” to specific approaches to address these points.

It offers assessment templates and practical guidance on how to use the new framework, along with recommended approaches to addressing investment issues in organizations. It contains suggested maturity models and approaches to maintaining and sustaining change. 2008, 44 pages. VITM

Value Management Guidance for Assurance Professionals—Using Val IT 2.0
ISACA

The objective of the new publication to the Val IT family Value Management Guidance for Assurance Professionals—Using Val IT 2.0 is to provide guidance on how to use Val IT to support an assurance review focused on the governance of IT-enabled business investments for each of the three Val IT domains—Value Governance, Portfolio Mangement and Investment Management. This guide is based on the IT Assurance Guide Using COBIT which provides comprehensive guidance on planning and performing a wide range of IT related assurance activities. This guide is focused on an assurance review of the management board and aligned with the Val IT 2.0 Framework—the governance of IT related businesses. Readers should be familiar with Val IT 2.0. Readers wishing to obtain a full description and practice guidance of IT assurance principles and context should refer to the IT Assurance Guide: Using COBIT. 2010, 48 pages. VITAG

The Business Case Guide—Using Val IT 2.0
ISACA

The intention of this publication is to position the business case as a valuable management tool—an operational tool—and to provide an easy-to-follow guide, based on Val IT 2.0, to creating, maintaining and using the business case. As such, this publication builds on and enhances the earlier version of this guide. Enterprise Value: Governance of IT Investments, The Business Case (2008). This new publication is now fully aligned with Val IT 2.0, provides “how to do it” tips, maturity models, examples and references to other materials for using and implementing the business case processes as the powerful operational tools they have the potential to be. 2010, 49 pages. VITBG

Audit, Control and Security—Essentials
See www.isaca.org/essentialtools for complete descriptions and additional essential titles.

ACCOUNTING INFORMATION SYSTEMS, 8th Edition
Ulric J. Celinas, Richard B. Dull
Today’s accounting professionals must help organizations identify enterprise risks and provide assurance for information systems. Accounting Information Systems, 8th Edition, helps develop a solid foundation in enterprise risk management as it relates to business processes and information systems. This book’s proven process model will look at three of the areas most critical in accounting information systems today: enterprise systems, e-business systems and controls for maintaining those systems. The book is written clearly to help readers easily grasp even the most challenging topics. It explores today’s most intriguing AIS topics to see how they relate to business processes, information technology, strategic management, security and internal controls.

The eighth edition provides the tools and processes for organizing and managing information. Whether desiring an emphasis on enterprise risk management, a solid understanding of databases and R.E.A., or a background in systems development, this book offers a solid foundation. 2010, 696 pages. 1-IT8

Computer Security: Protecting Digital Resources
Robert C. Newman
Today, society is faced with numerous Internet schemes, fraudulent scams and means of identity theft that threaten safety and peace of mind. Computer Security: Protecting Digital Resources provides a broad approach to computer-related crime, electronic commerce, corporate networking and Internet security—topics that have become increasingly important as more and more threats are made on the Internet. This book is intended for the average computer user, business professional, government worker and those within the education community with the expectation that readers can learn to use the new network with some degree of safety and security. The author places particular emphasis on the numerous vulnerabilities and threats that are inherent in the Internet. Efforts are made to present techniques and suggestions to avoid identity theft and fraud. 2010, 453 pages 1-BCS

Enterprise Security for the Executive: Setting the Tone from the Top
Jennifer L. Bayuk
Firewalls breached. Web sites hacked. Confidential files pilfered. Trucked to the trash can. Financials manipulated. TODAY'S security teams routinely face nightmare scenarios of malicious, criminal breaches. Trucks hijacked. Financials manipulated. Today's security teams face a serious security culture from the top. Enterprise Security for the Executive: Setting the Tone from the Top is designed to help business executives become familiar with security concepts and techniques to make sure they are able to manage and support the efforts of their security team. It is the first such work to define the leadership role for executives in any business's security apparatus. 2009, 163 pages. 1-ABE5

GFI Network Security and PCI Compliance Power Tools
Bri Brosey
Today all companies, US federal agencies and nonprofit organizations have data on their systems that need to be secured. One of the challenges for IT experts is learning how to use new products in a time-efficient manner, so that new implementations can go quickly and smoothly. Learning how to set up sophisticated products is time-consuming and can be confusing. GFI’s LANguard Network Security Scanner reports vulnerabilities so that they can be mitigated before hackers can take advantage of them on the network. To take advantage of the best things that GFI’s LANguard Network Security Scanner has to offer, it should be configured on the network so that it captures key events and sends alerts regarding potential vulnerabilities before they are exploited. This book pinpoints the important concepts with examples and screenshots so that systems administrators and security engineers can understand how to get the GFI security tools working quickly and effectively. 2009, 488 pages. 10-EL

Information Storage and Management: Storing, Managing, and Protecting Digital Information
EMC
Managing and securing information is critical to business success. While information storage and management used to be a relatively straightforward and routine operation, it has developed into a more mature and sophisticated pillar of information technology. Information storage and management technologies provide a variety of solutions for storing, managing, connecting, protecting, securing, sharing and optimizing information.

To keep pace with the exponential growth of information and the associated increase in sophistication and complexity of information management technology, there is a growing need for skilled information management professionals. More than ever, IT managers are challenged with employing and developing highly skilled information storage professionals. 2009, 486 pages. 83-WIS
This book includes step-by-step keys to fraud investigation and the most current methods for dealing with fraud within the organization. Written by recognized experts in the field of white-collar crime, this fourth edition provides readers, whether beginning forensic accountants or experienced investigators, with industry-tested methods for detecting, investigating and preventing financial schemes.

The goal of this popular book is to guide the assessor through a distributed computing environment of various platforms, versions, updated edition includes a background and review of security controls provides a new perspective of security and controls over Oracle. This system architects, business analysts and business process owners to evaluate risks and controls in existing ERP implementations, improved Oracle E- of governance, risk and compliance (GRC), Oracle Corporation has application have undergone since the second edition was published.

This one-of-a-kind guide offers a comprehensive overview of the hacking landscape and is organized in an instructive manner, first giving an update on the latest developments in hacking-related law, useful to everyone in the security field. Next, the book describes the sequencing process and provides tips and scripts frameworks. The second section is expanded by explaining social engineering, physical and insider attacks, and the latest trends in hacking (voice over IP and SCADA attacks). The book then explains, from both a code and machine-level perspective, how exploits work and guides readers through writing simple exploits. Finally, the authors provide a comprehensive description of vulnerability research and reverse engineering. 2011, 720 pages. 4-MGH3

J ohnny Cache, Josh uha Wright, Vincent Liu

Protect wireless systems from crippling attacks using the detailed security information in this comprehensive volume. Thoroughly updated to cover today’s established and emerging wireless technologies, Hacking Exposed Wireless, 2nd Edition reveals how attacks take place, which tools are available and how to defend against them. This book also teaches you how to assess potential threats and design and implement practical solutions to prevent them. A wealth of valuable information is provided to help you safeguard your wireless network.

Security, Audit and Control Features Oracle® E-Business Suite, 3rd Edition
Manuel Tupila Anticona

Oracle E-Business Suite is a massive and complex Oracle product that helps organizations enforce business rules and controls in a systematic way. However, Oracle E-Business Suite is also a major target for attackers. The increasing pace of attacks and the increasing sophistication of attackers make the task of ensuring the security of Oracle E-Business Suite one of the most important tasks for any organization. This third edition of Security, Audit and Control Features Oracle® E-Business Suite provides a detailed guide to the security, audit and control features of Oracle E-Business Suite.

This new edition includes a new chapter on Oracle E-Business Suite R12.1 (EBS) in 2009. This book is designed for IT professionals, system architects, business analysts and business process owners who are implementing Oracle E-Business Suite as well as people responsible for managing it in live production to maintain the appropriate level of control and security according to business needs and industry standards.

SECURITY, AUDIT AND CONTROL FEATURES ORACLE® DATABASE, 3RD EDITION
ISA CA

Security, Audit and Control Features Oracle Database, 3rd Edition, provides a new perspective of security and controls over Oracle. This updated edition includes a background and review of security controls and addresses the risks associated with protecting information in a distributed computing environment of various platforms, versions, interfaces and tools.

The goal of this popular book is to guide the assessor through a comprehensive evaluation of security for an Oracle database based on business objectives and risks. It describes several different frameworks that can be used to assess security risks and covers technical topics, including an overview of Oracle Database’s architecture, operating system controls, auditing and logging, network security, and new features in Oracle 11g (differences from previous versions of Oracle Database are noted, as well as differences that may exist based on the book operating system of the database). Security, Audit and Control Features Oracle Database helps simplify a daunting task, giving readers the approach, knowledge and tools to effectively plan and execute an Oracle Database security assessment.

2009, 219 pages. ODBS

SECURITY, AUDIT AND CONTROL FEATURES SAP® ERP: TECHNICAL AND RISK MANAGEMENT REFERENCE SERIES, 3RD EDITION
Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Research Team and ISACA

Security, Audit and Control Features SAP® ERP, 3rd Edition, part of the Technical and Risk Management Reference Series, enables assurance, security and risk professionals to evaluate risks and controls in existing SAP implementations and facilitates the design and building of system upgrades and enhancements.

The publication is based on SAP ERP (also known as SAP ERP Central Component [ECC]), the latest version of which is SAP ECC 6.0.

This in-demand new edition has been updated to reflect:
- New/modiﬁed SAP transaction codes and reports
- SAP ERP based on a service-oriented architecture (SOA)
- SOA combines SAP ERP with an open technology platform that can integrate SAP and non-SAP P systems using the SAP NetWeaver platform.
- SAP GRRC suite of tools, including Access Control and Process Control, which offers corporate governance and risk management solutions.

2009, 470 pages. IS0A3

SECURITY, AUDIT AND CONTROL FEATURES SAP® R/3: A TECHNICAL AND RISK MANAGEMENT REFERENCE GUIDE
Manuel Tupila Anticona

2009, 204 pages. ITCA

INTERNET AND RELATED SECURITY TOPICS

See www.isaca.org/internebooks for complete descriptions and additional Internet and related security titles.

24 DEADLY SINS OF SOFTWARE SECURITY: PROGRAMMING FLAWS AND HOW TO FIX THEM
Michael Howard, David LeBlanc and John Viega

Fully updated to cover the latest security issues, 24 Deadly Sins of Software Security reveals the most common design and coding errors and how to fix each one— or better yet, avoid them from the start. This book has been completely revised to address the most recent vulnerabilities and has added five brand-new sins. This practical guide covers all platforms; languages and types of applications.

2009, 432 pages 19-M24

CLOUD COMPUTING: IMPLEMENTATION, MANAGEMENT, AND SECURITY
John W. Rittinghouse and James F. Ransom

This guide provides an understanding of what cloud computing really means, explores how disruptive it may become in the future, and examines its advantages and disadvantages. It gives business executives the knowledge necessary to make informed, educated decisions regarding cloud initiatives. The authors first discuss the evolution of computing from a historical perspective, focusing primarily on advances that led to the development of cloud computing. Then they survey some of the critical components that are necessary to make the cloud computing paradigm feasible. They also present various security controls on the use and implementation issues surrounding cloud computing and describe the infrastructure management that is maintained by cloud computing service providers. A fter addressing significant legal and philosophical issues, the book concludes with a hard look at successful cloud computing vendors.

Helping to overcome the lack of understanding currently preventing the faster embrace of cloud computing, this book arms readers with guidance essential to make smart, strategic decisions on cloud initiatives. 2009, 340 pages. 45-CRC

GRAY HAT HACKING: THE ETHICAL HACKERS HANDBOOK, 3RD EDITION
Allen Harper, Shon Norris, Jonathan Ness, Chris Eagle, Gideon Lenkey, Terron Williams

Featuring in-depth, advanced coverage of vulnerability discovery and reversing engineering, Gray Hat Hacking, 3rd Edition provides eight brand-new chapters on the latest ethical hacking techniques. In addition to the new chapters, the rest of the book is updated to address current issues, threats, tools and techniques.

For those new to the world of ethical hacking, this book is an essential guide to the latest techniques and tools. For experienced hackers, it is a valuable resource for finding new and innovative ways to break into systems. Gray Hat Hacking, 3rd Edition provides the latest insights into the world of ethical hacking, making it a must-read for anyone interested in this field.

2010, 720 pages. 4-MGH3

MOBILE APPLICATION SECURITY

Himanshu Dwivedi, Chris Clark, David Thiel

Implement a systematic approach to security in mobile application development with help from this practical guide. Featuring case studies, code examples and best practices, Mobile Application Security details how to protect against vulnerabilities in the latest smartphone and PDA platforms. Maximize isolation, lockdown, internal and removable storage, work with sandboxing and signing, and encrypt sensitive user information. Safeguards against viruses, worms, malware and buffer overflow exploits are also covered in this comprehensive resource. 2010, 432 pages. 21-MMS

NO ROOT FOR YOU: A SERIES OF TUTORIALS, RAMS AND RAVES, AND OTHER RANDOM NUANCES THEREIN

Gordon L. Johnson

Over the years, spoofed information on anything that involves network auditing has been rather scarce. This book intends to meet this need, proving that such tasks may be explained in an articulate manner, while maintaining a high level of rapport with the individual reader. This book is written in a clear, concise and practical manner. It is a comprehensive resource that covers all aspects of network auditing, from the basics to the advanced topics.

2008, 424 pages. 17-MHE2
CIO BEST PRACTICES: ENABLING STRATEGIC VALUE WITH INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, 2ND EDITION

Joseph P. Sterzel, Gary Cokins, Karl D. Schibsted, Michael H. Hugo

Aging and working in technology, IT leaders feel the opportunities for creating and enabling lasting value. The chief information officer (CIO) helps define those opportunities and turn them into reality. Now in its second edition, CIO BEST PRACTICES is an essential guide offering real-world practices used by CIOs and other IT specialists who have successfully mastered the blend of business and IT responsibilities. For anyone who wants to add value to their IT investments, CIO Best Practices, 2nd Edition presents the leadership skills and competencies required of a CIO addressing comprehensive enterprise strategic frameworks to fully leverage IT resources.

This practical resource provides best practice guidance on the key responsibilities of CIOs and their indispensable executive leadership role in modern enterprises of all sizes and industries. It is the most definitive and important collection of best practices for achieving and executing strategic IT leadership for CIOs, those who intend to become CIOs and those who want to understand the strategic importance of IT for the entire enterprise. 2011, 360 pages. 54-WC102

FRAUD 101: TECHNIQUES AND STRATEGIES FOR UNDERSTANDING FRAUD, 3RD EDITION

Stephen Pedneault

Fraud continues to be one of the fastest growing and most costly crimes around the world. The more an organization can learn about fraud and the potential fraud risks that threaten the financial stability of the organization, the better it will be equipped to design and implement measures to prevent schemes from occurring in the first place. This third edition offers guidance, understanding, and new tools and case studies on the major types of fraud. 2009, 234 pages. 85-WF101

IMPLEMENTING THE PROJECT MANAGEMENT BALANCED SCORECARD

Jessica Keys

Business managers have long known the power of the balanced scorecard in executing corporate strategy. Implementing the Project Management Balanced Scorecard shows project managers how to use this framework to meet strategic objectives. It supplies valuable insight into the project management process as a whole and contains detailed explanations of how to effectively use the balanced scorecard to measure and manage performance and projects.

Filled with examples and case histories, the book directly relates the scorecard concept to the major project management steps of determining scope, scheduling, estimation, risk management, procurement and project termination. Complete with a plethora of resources in its appendices and on the accompanying CD, the text includes detailed instructions for developing a measurement program, a full metrics guide, a sample project plan and a set of project management fill-in forms. 2010, 447 pages. 46-CRC

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY FOR MANAGEMENT: IMPROVING STRATEGIC AND OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE, 8TH EDITION

Efraim Turban, Linda Vokolo

A major revision of a highly respected text that has sold more than 250,000 copies, this book teaches that the major role of IT is to provide enterprises with strategic advantage by facilitating problem solving, increasing productivity and quality, improving customer service, enhancing communication and collaboration, and enabling business process restructuring.

By taking a practical, management-oriented approach, the book demonstrates how IT is a critical success factor in enterprise operations and is critical to their survival. Designed for all business majors, this book covers tools and technologies, as well as emphasizing innovative uses of technology, integrated throughout is how IT, including the use of social computing, mobile computing, the Internet, intranets and how business is done in almost all enterprises. 2011, 496 pages. 88-WITM8

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY GOVERNANCE AND SERVICE MANAGEMENT: FRAMEWORKS AND ADAPTATIONS

Aileen Cater-Steele

Increasingly, IT governance is being considered an integral part of corporate governance. There has been a rapid increase in awareness and adoption of IT governance frameworks as a desire to conform to national governance requirements to ensure that IT is aligned with the objectives of the organization.

This book provides an in-depth view into the critical contribution of IT service management to IT governance, and the strategic and tactical value provided by such a framework. The importance of IT governance frameworks to the organization’s overall mission, and must have resource for practitioners in fields affected by IT. In organizations, this work gathers authoritative perspectives on the state of research on organizational challenges and benefits in current IT governance frameworks, adoption and incorporation. Section 1 provides literature reviews of previous research on IT governance, and section 2 contains six chapters of frameworks of IT governance. Section 3 provides perspectives on the relationship of IT governance to business, corporate governance and IT security. It also considers governance as it relates to IT performance management, outsourcing and software development. Section 4 describes models of IT governance management such as ITIL and ISO/IEC 2000. 2009, 519 pages. 3G6I

INTERNAL CONTROLS POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

Rose Hightower

Your company can use this how-to-manual to quickly and effectively put in place an excellent program of internal controls in place. Complete with flowsheets and checklists, this essential desktop reference is a best practices model for establishing and enhancing your organization’s control framework.

Internal Controls Policies and Procedures is a collection of documents that highlight the guidelines and strategies that are part of corporate governance. It includes various definitions within the US Securities and Exchange Commission regulations, and the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) standards, and an overview of the COSO framework.

The how-to reference shows how to establish or enhance an internal control program. This manual includes an integrated internal control program and series of assessment checklists. 2008, 272 pages. 83-WIC

IT GOVERNANCE: A POCKET GUIDE

Alan Calder

This pocket guide outlines the key drivers for IT governance in the modern global economy, with particular reference to corporate governance requirements and the need for companies to protect their information assets. The guide examines the role of IT governance in the management of strategic and operational risk. It also looks at the most important considerations when setting up an IT governance framework, and introduces the reader to the Calder-Mont IT Governance Framework, which the author helped to create. The approach throughout avoids technical jargon and emphasizes business opportunities and needs. 2007, 52 pages. 4T1G

IT GOVERNANCE: GUIDELINES FOR DIRECTORS

Alan Calder

Aligning IT with the business is a key objective for boards and executives. Organizations with effective governance consistently generate better returns for their shareholders than equivalent organizations with ineffective IT governance, and the directors of companies that effectively govern their IT are significantly less exposed to compliance and shareholder challenges than others. This book links IT governance to today’s corporate governance environment and assesses the corporate impact that the convergence of financial, accounting and governance frameworks will have on organizations competing in today’s economy. 2005, 170 pages. 3I1G

IT OUTSOURCING CONTRACTS: A LEGAL AND PRACTICAL GUIDE (POCKET GUIDE)

Jimmy L. Isa

Outsourcing the IT function looks attractive. It can offer greater flexibility and cost savings, and enable one to focus on the core business. At the same time, outsourcing IT has its problems. It can involve extra risks and hidden costs. The company’s relationship with its IT supplier will not just run itself. The relationship will need to be managed to obtain the services the business requires.

Whether outsourcing IT is the right decision for the organization depends on the needs of the business. Finding the best supplier of IT services is not just a matter of the cheapest deal. It is important to use a supplier with real technical expertise that understands the specific requirements of the industry. 2009, 106 pages. 54-TOC

MONITORING INTERNAL CONTROL SYSTEMS AND IT

ISACA

Monitoring Internal Control Systems and IT provides useful guidance and tools for enterprises interested in applying information technology to support and sustain the monitoring of internal control. Guidance is provided for the design and operation of monitoring activities over existing IT controls; however, customization of the provided approaches, reflecting the specific circumstances of each enterprise, is required.

The main goals/targets of this publication are:• Complement and expand on the 2009 COBIT Guidance on Monitoring of Internal Control• Emphasize the monitoring of application and IT general controls• Discuss the use of automation (tools) for increased efficiency and effectiveness in monitoring processes.

This publication will be helpful for executives/senior management, business process owners and IT professionals. 2010, 124 pages. M1C

OUTSOURCING IT: A GOVERNANCE GUIDE

Rupert Kendrick

Businesses are increasingly choosing to outsource their IT function. The attraction of outsourcing IT is that it enables a company to obtain a more efficient and responsive IT system, while at the same time allowing the company to focus on its core strengths. The current economic climate is also increasing pressure on companies to find new ways of cutting costs. However, all too often IT outsourcing projects fail because companies have not applied appropriate governance processes to the project.

The IT function is nearly always a business-critical operation. This means that outsourcing IT will give a supplier control over a function that is vital to the organization’s survival and success.

This book offers a guide to the many pitfalls of IT outsourcing. It will provide readers with clear criteria for the application of governance principles to the outsourcing process and thereby, enable them to improve IT outsourcing so that it supports the overall business goals. 2009, 336 pages. 21TO

THE SERVICE CATALOG

Mark O’Loughlin

The Service Catalog means many different things to many different people. However most would agree that a catalog that helps customers and users to quickly identify the services they require clearly adds value. In turn this helps organizations identify key services that support business processes; understand the contribution made by those services and manage them appropriately.

This well-constructed book provides proven service design advice and information that will help organizations to understand how to design and develop a service catalog and understand why and how that service catalog performs within the service portfolio. 2010, 256 pages. 13-IVH

TECHNOLOGY SCORECARDS: ALIGNING IT INVESTMENTS WITH BUSINESS PERFORMANCE

Sam Bambach

Readers can learn how to establish key performance indicators and value scorecards for IT to ensure maximum value in their corporation with the step-by-step approach in Technology Scorecards. This book will show the reader how to:

• Create scorecards geared toward the enterprise’s business goals
• Make quantum improvements in cost, value and productivity using key performance indicators and scorecards
• Increase a company’s net by as much as 109 percent just by improving its supply chain management by 50 percent
• Impact the enterprise’s top line the most through product life cycle management
• Develop a realistic strategy through scorecards, which can then be used to drive IT investments that maximize business performance

Readers can learn how to align their IT plans with business objectives and optimize the enterprise’s overall performance with the perfect scorecard approach found in Technology Scorecards. 2009, 336 pages. 77-WNTS

UNLOCKING VALUE: AN EXECUTIVE PRIMER ON THE CRITICAL ROLE OF IT GOVERNANCE

IT Governance Institute

The goals of this publication are to:

• Increase awareness, understanding and adoption of IT governance by enabling chief information officers (CIOs) and other executives to better understand the why, what and how of IT governance
• Create a call to enterprises for the need to adopt the concepts of IT governance
• Assist CIOs in their effort to increase their enterprise’s leadership awareness of the need to adopt the concepts of IT governance and obtain their support
• Assist CIOs in their effort to facilitate an understanding of the topic and obtain their buy-in and commitment
• Assist CIOs in their effort to provide leadership for successful implementation, adoption and execution of IT governance

2009, 28 pages. 3T1G

WORLD CLASS IT: WHY BUSINESSES SUCCEED WHEN IT TRIUMPHS

Peter A. Commers

Technology are around. It is so pervasive that one may not even recognize when interacting with it. Despite this fact, many companies have yet to leverage information technology as a strategic weapon.

What then are information technology executives to do to raise the game? Are you ready to develop your game, or will your game be played against you? This book offers a guide for enterprises, government agencies, educators and IT professionals, IT managers, IT executives, IT vendors and IT practitioners.

This book offers a guide for enterprises, government agencies, educators and IT professionals, IT managers, IT executives, IT vendors and IT practitioners.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Nonmember</th>
<th>Member</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>2011 CISA® EXAM REFERENCE MATERIALS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>To prepare for the June or December 2011 CISA exam, order</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRM-11*</td>
<td>CISA Review Manual 2011</td>
<td>135.00</td>
<td>105.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRM-13F</td>
<td>French Edition</td>
<td>135.00</td>
<td>105.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRM-13R</td>
<td>Italian Edition</td>
<td>135.00</td>
<td>105.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRM-13J</td>
<td>Japanese Edition</td>
<td>135.00</td>
<td>105.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRM-13S</td>
<td>Spanish Edition</td>
<td>135.00</td>
<td>105.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CISA Review Questions, Answers &amp; Explanations Manual 2011*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QAE-11*</td>
<td>English Edition</td>
<td>130.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QAE-11G</td>
<td>German Edition</td>
<td>130.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QAE-11R</td>
<td>Italian Edition</td>
<td>130.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QAE-11J</td>
<td>Japanese Edition</td>
<td>130.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QAE-11S</td>
<td>Spanish Edition</td>
<td>130.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CISA Review Questions, Answers &amp; Explanations Manual 2011 Supplement*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QAE-11CS*</td>
<td>Chinese Simplified Edition</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QAE-11ES*</td>
<td>English Edition</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QAE-11FS*</td>
<td>French Edition</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QAE-11GS*</td>
<td>German Edition</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QAE-11JS*</td>
<td>Italian Edition</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QAE-11JS*</td>
<td>Japanese Edition</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QAE-11SS*</td>
<td>Spanish Edition</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CISA Practice Question Database v11 (1,000 Questions)*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDB-11*</td>
<td>CD-ROM — English Edition</td>
<td>225.00</td>
<td>185.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDB-11W*</td>
<td>Download— English Edition</td>
<td>225.00</td>
<td>185.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDB-11S*</td>
<td>CD-ROM — Spanish Edition</td>
<td>225.00</td>
<td>185.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDB-11SW*</td>
<td>Download— Spanish Edition</td>
<td>225.00</td>
<td>185.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAN*</td>
<td>Candidate’s Guide to the CISA Exam and Certification</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>2011 CISM® EXAM REFERENCE MATERIALS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>To prepare for the June or December 2011 CISM exam, order</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CISM Review Manual 2011*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM-11*</td>
<td>English Edition</td>
<td>115.00</td>
<td>85.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM-11J</td>
<td>Japanese Edition</td>
<td>115.00</td>
<td>85.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM-11S</td>
<td>Spanish Edition</td>
<td>115.00</td>
<td>85.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CISM Review Questions, Answers &amp; Explanations Manual 2011*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CQA-11*</td>
<td>English Edition</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>70.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CQA-11J</td>
<td>Japanese Edition</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>70.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CQA-11S</td>
<td>Spanish Edition</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>70.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CISM Review Questions, Answers &amp; Explanations Manual 2011 Supplement*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CQA-11ES*</td>
<td>English Edition</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CQA-11JS*</td>
<td>Japanese Edition</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CQA-11SS*</td>
<td>Spanish Edition</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CISM Practice Question Database v11 (750 Questions)*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDB-11*</td>
<td>CD-ROM — English Edition</td>
<td>160.00</td>
<td>120.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDB-11W</td>
<td>Download— English Edition</td>
<td>160.00</td>
<td>120.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCG*</td>
<td>Candidate’s Guide to the CISM Exam and Certification</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>2011 CCRIT® EXAM REFERENCE MATERIALS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>To prepare for the June or December 2011 CCRIT exam, order</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRR-11*</td>
<td>CRRIT Review Manual 2011</td>
<td>115.00</td>
<td>85.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRR-11S*</td>
<td>Spanish Edition</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRR-11JS*</td>
<td>Japanese Edition</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAC*</td>
<td>Candidate’s Guide to the CCRIT Exam and Certification</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>2011 CRISC® EXAM REFERENCE MATERIALS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>To prepare for the June or December 2011 CRISC exam, order</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRR-11*</td>
<td>CRISC Review Manual 2011</td>
<td>115.00</td>
<td>85.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRR-11S*</td>
<td>Spanish Edition</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRR-11JS*</td>
<td>Japanese Edition</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAC*</td>
<td>Candidate’s Guide to the CRISC Exam and Certification</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ISACA Bookstore Price List**

*Published by ISACA and ITGI*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Nonmember</th>
<th>Member</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CB41*</td>
<td>CBIT 4.1, Print Format</td>
<td>190.00</td>
<td>75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB48*</td>
<td>CBIT and Application Controls: A Management Guide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCAC®</td>
<td>E-book— PDF format (purchase online only)</td>
<td>55.00</td>
<td>FREE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAC®</td>
<td>Print format</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>35.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBX*</td>
<td>CBIT 4.1 Excerpt</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPS2*</td>
<td>CBIT Control Practices: Guidance to achieve Control of Risks, Controls, and Security: Concepts and Applications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBQ2*</td>
<td>CBIT Quickstart, 2nd Edition</td>
<td>110.00</td>
<td>55.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB58*</td>
<td>CBIT Security Baseline, 2nd Edition</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VITF2*</td>
<td>The Val IT Framework 2.0</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>45.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VITAG*</td>
<td>Value management Guidance for Assurance Professionals—Using Val IT 2.0</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEFT2*</td>
<td>The Risk IT Framework</td>
<td>95.00</td>
<td>45.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFT*</td>
<td>The Risk IT Practitioner Guide</td>
<td>115.00</td>
<td>55.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78-WRM</td>
<td>The Failure of Risk Management: Why It’s Broken and How to Fix It</td>
<td>55.00</td>
<td>45.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70-WFR</td>
<td>Fraud Risk Assessment: Building a Fraud Audit Program</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>70.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27-CRC</td>
<td>Guide to Optimal Operational Risk and Basel II</td>
<td>124.00</td>
<td>114.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-CRC</td>
<td>How to Complete a Risk Assessment in 5 Days or Less</td>
<td>95.00</td>
<td>85.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84-WRM</td>
<td>Information Technology Risk Management in Enterprise Environments</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>90.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-HBS</td>
<td>IT Risk: Turning Business Threats Into Competitive Advantage</td>
<td>45.00</td>
<td>35.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-PL</td>
<td>Risk Management &amp; Risk Assessment</td>
<td>105.00</td>
<td>95.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-WRC</td>
<td>Risks, Controls, and Security: Concepts and Applications</td>
<td>118.00</td>
<td>108.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RITF*</td>
<td>The Risk IT Framework</td>
<td>95.00</td>
<td>45.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRR-11*</td>
<td>CRRIT Review Manual 2011</td>
<td>115.00</td>
<td>55.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRR-11JS*</td>
<td>Japanese Edition</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRR-11SS*</td>
<td>Spanish Edition</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRR-11JS*</td>
<td>Japanese Edition</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAC*</td>
<td>Candidate’s Guide to the CRISC Exam and Certification</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**S-5**
## ISACA Bookstore Price List

### AUDIT, CONTROL AND SECURITY—ESSENTIALS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Nonmember</th>
<th>Member</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-IT8</td>
<td>Accounting Information Systems, 8th Edition</td>
<td>233.00</td>
<td>223.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70-WAS</td>
<td>Accounting Information Systems: Controls and Processes</td>
<td>169.00</td>
<td>159.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-PAW</td>
<td>Applied Security Visualization</td>
<td>65.00</td>
<td>55.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-WAF</td>
<td>Audit Planning: A Risk-Based Approach</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>70.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-PL</td>
<td>Auditing IT Infrastructures</td>
<td>105.00</td>
<td>95.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53-WAG</td>
<td>Auditor’s Guide to Information Systems Auditing</td>
<td>115.00</td>
<td>105.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-WSL</td>
<td>Build Your Own Security Lab: A Field Guide for Network Testing</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43-CRC</td>
<td>Building an Effective Information Security Policy Architecture</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>80.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-CRC</td>
<td>Complete Guide to Security and Privacy Metrics: Measuring Regulatory Compliance, Operational Risk and ROI</td>
<td>140.00</td>
<td>130.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-WCAF</td>
<td>Computer Aided Fraud Prevention and Detection: A Step by Step Guide</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>60.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-IGI</td>
<td>Computer Security, Privacy and Politics: Current Issues, Challenges and Solutions</td>
<td>110.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-BCS</td>
<td>Computer Security: Protecting Digital Resources</td>
<td>93.00</td>
<td>83.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-WCC</td>
<td>Practical Computer Security: A Risk-Based Approach</td>
<td>95.00</td>
<td>85.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-WPM</td>
<td>Effective Project Management: Traditional, Agile, Extreme, 5th Edition</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Enterprisewide Identity Management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Nonmember</th>
<th>Member</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WITM*</td>
<td>E-book—PDF Format (purchase online only)</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PINM*</td>
<td>Print Format</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-ABES</td>
<td>Enterprise Security for the Executive: Setting the Tone from the Top</td>
<td>45.00</td>
<td>35.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ISACA Bookstore Price List

### AUDIT, CONTROL AND SECURITY—SPECIFIC ENVIRONMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Nonmember</th>
<th>Member</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18-MAO</td>
<td>Applied Oracle Security: Developing Secure Database and Middleware Environments</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>60.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-DC</td>
<td>Audit Guidelines for DB2</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>70.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-SAPP</td>
<td>COBIT and the Sarbanes-Oxley Act</td>
<td>45.00</td>
<td>35.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88-WFA</td>
<td>Fraud Auditing and Forensic Accounting, 4th Edition</td>
<td>85.00</td>
<td>75.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Linear: Security, Audit and Control Features

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Nonmember</th>
<th>Member</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WIN*</td>
<td>E-book—PDF Format (purchase online only)</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>15.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLIN*</td>
<td>Print Format</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>35.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Managing Risk in Wireless Environment: Security, Audit and Control Issues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Nonmember</th>
<th>Member</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WW*</td>
<td>E-book—PDF Format (purchase online only)</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-IPG</td>
<td>Oracle Privacy Security Auditing</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>60.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05300*</td>
<td>05300:2005/20 Security, Audit and Control Features</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>55.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29-ST4</td>
<td>A Practical Guide to IBM and ISO Security and Compliance</td>
<td>89.00</td>
<td>79.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-MPP</td>
<td>Protective Computing Controls from Electronic Threats</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>60.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0035*</td>
<td>Security, Audit and Control Features Oracle DBase, 3rd Edition</td>
<td>55.00</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISOA*</td>
<td>Security, Audit and Control Features Oracle E-Business</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>60.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISAP*</td>
<td>Security, Audit and Control Features PeopleSoft, 2nd Edition</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>55.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISAP3*</td>
<td>Security, Audit and Control Features SAP A/R, 3rd Edition</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>60.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-EL</td>
<td>Wireless Operational Security</td>
<td>95.00</td>
<td>85.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Non-English Resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Nonmember</th>
<th>Member</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-TCA</td>
<td>A Administración de la Seguridad de Información</td>
<td>55.00</td>
<td>45.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-rama</td>
<td>Auditoría de Tecnologías y Sistemas de Información</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>60.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CISA Examination Reference Material</td>
<td>Study aids available in Chinese Simplified, French, German, Italian and Spanish for the June or December 2011 CISA exam—see page S1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CISM Examination Reference Material</td>
<td>Study aids available in Japanese and Spanish for the June or December 2011 CISM exam—see page S1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COBIT 4.0 Edition</td>
<td>available at the following web sites</td>
<td>German Edition—www.isaca.org</td>
<td>Italian Edition—www.aiea.it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-AOCF</td>
<td>Computación Forense: Descubriendo los Rastros Informáticos</td>
<td>42.00</td>
<td>32.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-RAMA</td>
<td>Gobierno de las Tecnologías y los Sistemas de Información</td>
<td>65.00</td>
<td>55.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Moyer COBIT Suite

Moyer COBIT is an software completo e integrado para la implementación de COBIT como una herramienta para el Buen Gobierno de la TI, Seguridad de la TI o Aseguramiento de la TI según COBIT 4.1. (see www.isaca.org/nonenglishbooks for descripción y precios)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Nonmember</th>
<th>Member</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-TCA</td>
<td>Principios de Auditoría y Control de Sistemas de Información</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>30.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISOA*</td>
<td>Security, Audit and Control Features Oracle E-Business</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>55.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISAP*</td>
<td>Security, Audit and Control Features PeopleSoft, 2nd Edition</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>55.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISAP3*</td>
<td>Security, Audit and Control Features SAP A/R, 3rd Edition</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>60.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### INTERNET AND RELATED SECURITY TOPICS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Nonmember</th>
<th>Member</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WCX*</td>
<td>E-book—PDF Format (purchase online only)</td>
<td>45.00</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCC*</td>
<td>Print Format</td>
<td>55.00</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-CAP</td>
<td>Cybercrime: The Investigation, Prosecution and Defense of a Computer-Related Crime, 2nd Edition</td>
<td>47.00</td>
<td>37.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34-CRC</td>
<td>Cyber Forensics: A Field Manual for Collecting, Examining, and Preserving Evidence of Computer Crimes, 2nd Edition</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>80.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-MGHL</td>
<td>Gray Hat Hacking: The Ethical Hackers Handbook, 3rd Edition</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>60.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-MHIF</td>
<td>Hacking the Computer Forensics Secrets and Solutions, 2nd Edition</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-MC66</td>
<td>Hacking Exposed: Network Security Secrets &amp; Solutions, 6th Edition</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250ST-3</td>
<td>The Little Black Book of Computer Security, 2nd Edition</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-SSM</td>
<td>Mobile Application Security</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86-WNS</td>
<td>Network Security Bible, 2nd Edition</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>60.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Shaded— New Books * Published by ISACA and ITGI

**Prices Subject to Change**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Nonmember</th>
<th>Member</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>59-WNS</td>
<td>Network Security Fundamentals</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>70.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-GL</td>
<td>NMAP Network Scanning: The Official NMAP Project Guide to Network Discovery and Security Scanning</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-WCNR</td>
<td>No Root for You: A Series of Tutorials, Rants and Raves, and Other Random Observations</td>
<td>33.00</td>
<td>23.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-WPC</td>
<td>Phishing and Countermeasures: Understanding the Increasing Problem of Electronic Identity Theft</td>
<td>105.00</td>
<td>95.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-HA</td>
<td>Scraping Information Security: The Easy Way to Keep the Cyber Wolves at Bay</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-CRC</td>
<td>Securing Converged IP Networks</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>90.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-OSM</td>
<td>Security Monitoring</td>
<td>55.00</td>
<td>45.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-EL</td>
<td>XSS Exploits—Cross Site Scripting Attacks and Defense</td>
<td>73.00</td>
<td>63.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IT GOVERNANCE AND BUSINESS MANAGEMENT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Nonmember</th>
<th>Member</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3-PAGE</td>
<td>7 Steps to Better Written Policies and Procedures</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-PAGE</td>
<td>Achieving 100% Compliance of Policies and Protection</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-EL</td>
<td>Architecture and Patterns for IT Service Management, Resource Planning, and Governance: Making Shoes for the Cobbler’s Children</td>
<td>57.00</td>
<td>47.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61-WBSC</td>
<td>Balanced Scorecard Step-by-Step: Maximizing Performance and Maintaining Results, 2nd Edition</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-PAGE</td>
<td>Best Practices in Policies and Procedures</td>
<td>36.00</td>
<td>26.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-ITG</td>
<td>Board Briefing on IT Governance, 2nd Edition</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66-WCP</td>
<td>Building a World-Class Compliance Program: Best Practices and Strategies for Success</td>
<td>55.00</td>
<td>45.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-SYN</td>
<td>Business Continuity and Disaster Recovery Planning for IT Professionals</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>60.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-MBS</td>
<td>The Business Model for Information Security</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>45.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-CRC</td>
<td>Business Resumption Planning, 2nd Edition</td>
<td>108.00</td>
<td>98.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39-CRC</td>
<td>The Business Value of IT: Managing Risks, Optimizing Performance and Measuring Results</td>
<td>86.00</td>
<td>76.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54-WCIDO2</td>
<td>CIO Best Practices: Enabling Strategic Value with Information Technology, 2nd Edition</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>65.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39-CRC</td>
<td>CISO Leadership: Essential Principles for Success</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>80.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74-WCM</td>
<td>Corporate Governance, Management, and Ethics Best Practices</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>70.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32-CRCC</td>
<td>Crisis Management and Execution</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>80.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-WBC</td>
<td>The Definitive Handbook of Business Continuity Management, 2nd Edition</td>
<td>85.00</td>
<td>75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37-CRC</td>
<td>Digital Privacy: Theory, Technologies, and Practices</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>80.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-IGI</td>
<td>Emerging Topics and Technologies in Information Systems</td>
<td>205.00</td>
<td>195.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39-WBD</td>
<td>Enterprise Dashboards: Design and Best Practices for IT</td>
<td>55.00</td>
<td>45.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-KRT</td>
<td>Enterprise Information Security and Privacy</td>
<td>109.00</td>
<td>99.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-CMP</td>
<td>Enterprise Security Architecture: A Business-Driven Approach</td>
<td>97.00</td>
<td>87.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-WIT</td>
<td>The Executive’s Guide to Information Technology, 2nd Edition</td>
<td>105.00</td>
<td>95.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-VH</td>
<td>Foundations of IT Service Management Based on ITIL® V3</td>
<td>66.00</td>
<td>56.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-VH</td>
<td>Frameworks for IT Management</td>
<td>66.00</td>
<td>56.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85-WF101</td>
<td>Fraud 101: Techniques and Strategies for Understanding Fraud, 3rd Edition</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64-WGC</td>
<td>Governance, Risk and Compliance Handbook: Technology, Finance, and Health, and Information Management and Governance and Best Practices</td>
<td>165.00</td>
<td>155.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42-CRC</td>
<td>The Green and Virtual Data Center</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>80.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-MH</td>
<td>Hacking Exposed Malware and Rootkits: Malware &amp; Rootkits Secrets &amp; Solutions</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-WHF</td>
<td>Human Factors in Project Management: Concepts, Tools, and Techniques for Improving Teamwork and Motivation</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-WGC</td>
<td>Identifying and Aligning Business Goals and IT Goals (E-book—PDF purchase online only)</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-ID</td>
<td>Implementing Information Technology Governance: Models, Practices and Cases</td>
<td>110.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Information Security Governance: Guidance for Information Security Managers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Nonmember</th>
<th>Member</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7-VH</td>
<td>Implementing IT Governance: A Practical Guide to Global Best Practices</td>
<td>66.00</td>
<td>56.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46-CRC</td>
<td>Implementing the Project Management Balanced Scorecard</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>80.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-ITG*</td>
<td>Information Security Governance: Guidance for Boards of Directors and Executive Management, 2nd Edition</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-VH</td>
<td>IT Financial Management</td>
<td>66.00</td>
<td>56.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-ITGD</td>
<td>IT Governance: Guidelines for Directors</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>80.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-ITG</td>
<td>IT Governance: A Pocket Guide</td>
<td>26.00</td>
<td>16.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-WFGM</td>
<td>IT Governance and Process Maturity (E-book—purchase online only)</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>FREE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-ITOC</td>
<td>Outsourcing Contracts: A Legal and Practical Guide</td>
<td>41.00</td>
<td>31.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-VH</td>
<td>IT Outsourcing: Part 1 Contracting the Partner</td>
<td>42.00</td>
<td>32.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-ITRC</td>
<td>Leading IT Projects: The IT Manager’s Guide</td>
<td>96.00</td>
<td>86.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49-WMG</td>
<td>Manger’s Guide to Compliance: Best Practices and Case Studies</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>70.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Managing Enterprise Information Integrity, Security, Control, and Audit Issues**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Nonmember</th>
<th>Member</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8-MPS</td>
<td>E-book—PDF Format (purchase online only)</td>
<td>45.00</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-ITPM</td>
<td>Printing Format</td>
<td>55.00</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-VHM</td>
<td>MOF—Microsoft Operations Framework V4.0: A Pocket Guide</td>
<td>33.00</td>
<td>23.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-MIC</td>
<td>Monitoring Internal Controls and IT</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>55.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-ITO</td>
<td>Outsourcing IT Governance: A Governance Guide</td>
<td>82.00</td>
<td>72.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-RO</td>
<td>Principles and Practice of Business Continuity: Tools and Techniques</td>
<td>109.00</td>
<td>99.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-SIS</td>
<td>Technology Management Toolkit</td>
<td>505.00</td>
<td>495.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-HBR</td>
<td>Reengineering Project Management: The Diamond Approach</td>
<td>45.00</td>
<td>35.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-SYN</td>
<td>Salesforce-Style IT Compliance Using Open Source Tools, 3rd Edition</td>
<td>73.00</td>
<td>63.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Security Awareness: Best Practices to Secure Your Enterprise**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Nonmember</th>
<th>Member</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-WSA</td>
<td>E-book—PDF Format (purchase online only)</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-ITPM</td>
<td>Print Format</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>35.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-VH</td>
<td>The Service Catalog</td>
<td>66.00</td>
<td>56.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58-WSOA</td>
<td>Service Oriented Architecture: A Planning and Implementation Guide for Business and Technology</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>60.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73-WSOA</td>
<td>Service Oriented Architecture Field Guide for Executives</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77-WTS</td>
<td>Technology Scorecards: Aligning IT Investments with Business Performance</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-ITG*</td>
<td>Unlocking Value: An Executive Primer on the Critical Role of IT Governance</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-ITP</td>
<td>Visible DIS Security: A chieving Common Security and IT Operations Objectives in 4 Practical Steps</td>
<td>32.00</td>
<td>22.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-ITP</td>
<td>The Visible Ops: Starting ITIL in 4 Practical Steps</td>
<td>32.00</td>
<td>22.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-GR</td>
<td>Vulnerability Management</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>80.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-EA</td>
<td>Winning as a CISO</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87-WWC</td>
<td>World Class IT: Why Businesses Succeed When IT Triumphs</td>
<td>48.00</td>
<td>38.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Customer Order Form**

Please note: Read payment terms and shipping information below. All orders must be prepaid.

Please return to: ISACA, 1055 Paysphere Circle, Chicago, IL 60674, USA
Phone: +1.847.660.5650  Fax: +1.847.253.1443  E-mail: bookstore@isaca.org

Your contact information will be used to fulfill your request, and may also be used by ISACA to send you information about related ISACA goods and services, and other information in which we believe you may be interested. To learn more, please visit www.isaca.org and read our Privacy Policy.

**Customer Information**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>FIRST</th>
<th>MIDDLE</th>
<th>LAST/FAMILY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ISACA Member: No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Member Number</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Company Name: ________________________________
Address: ________________________________
______________________________
City: ___________________ State/Province: ___________________
Country: ___________________ Zip/Mail Code: ___________________
Phone Number: ___________________ Fax Number: ___________________
E-mail Address: ___________________

**Shipping Information** (If different from customer information)

If shipping to a P.O. Box, please include street address to ensure proper delivery.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>FIRST</th>
<th>MIDDLE</th>
<th>LAST/FAMILY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Company Name</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(If Part of Shipping Address)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Address: ________________________________
City: ___________________ State/Province: ___________________
Country: ___________________ Zip/Mail Code: ___________________
Phone Number: ___________________ Fax Number: ___________________
E-mail Address: ___________________

**Payment Information—Prepayment Required**

- Payment enclosed: Check payable to “ISACA” in US dollars, drawn on US bank.
- Bank wire transfer in US dollars. Date of transfer ________
- Charge to: Visa □ MasterCard □ American Express □ Diners Club

Credit Card #: ___________________ Exp. Date: ___________________
Print Cardholder Name: ___________________
Signature of Cardholder: ___________________

**Payment & Handling Rates for Orders**

All orders outside the US are shipped Federal Express Priority.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Title/Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Unit Price</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Subtotal**

Sales Tax: Add sales tax if shipping to:
Louisiana (LA), Oklahoma (OK)—4%
Wisconsin (WI)—5%
Florida (FL), Minnesota (MN), Pennsylvania (PA), South Carolina (SC), Texas (TX), Washington (WA)—6%
New Jersey (NJ), Tennessee (TN)—7%
California (CA)—8%
Illinois (IL)—9%

For all orders please include shipping and handling charge—see chart below.

**Shipping details** www.isaca.org/shipping

International customers are solely responsible for paying all customs duties, service charges, and taxes levied by their country.

All purchases are final. Pricing, shipping and handling, and tax are subject to change without notice.
Made my morning coffee.

Mastered the Reverse Warrior Pose.

Distributed 1,000 TeamMate web based self-assessments with a single click.

Just because I’m on the clock, doesn’t mean I don’t value my time.

When you work smarter, you live better. CCH TeamMate

Add audit efficiency to your daily routine.
Call 1.888.830.5559 or visit CCHTeamMate.com.
who can turn security into “know” instead of “no”?

Saying “no” to unauthorized access is important. But “know” is far more important.

Content-Aware Identity and Access Management from CA Technologies brings the power of “know” to IT environments—virtual, physical or cloud—all the way down to the data level.


A smarter, more secure solution.

That’s the power of know.

To put the power of know to work for you, visit www.security.com