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Agenda

- What is Computer Forensics?
- Accepted Methodology
  - Steps in an Investigation
- Tools and Resources
- The Case “For” and “Against” DIY
Computer Forensics and Implications to the organization

- Computer forensics basic should be part of organization’s “defense-in-depth”
  - Use basic forensic techniques that does not hinder law enforcement and investigations of crime
  - Policy makers should understand the issues needed to address privacy and security
Why Computer Forensics?

• Most Organizations - Low Hanging Fruit
  – Hackers find the computer systems an easy target for their crimes and have become sophisticated in hiding their illegal activities
  – Most operating system and applications leave behind important information in multiple places
A Short History of Computer Forensics

• Early 1980’s
  – Kevin Mitnick broke into the University of Southern California’s VAX/VMS and DEC systems with a stated goal to “liberate” disk space
  – Kevin Poulsen electronically seizes phone lines of a major Los Angeles radio station to win “the 101st caller”
1990’s Evolution of Computer Forensics

• First International Conference on Computer Evidence was held in 1993.
  – Mitnick convicted on charges of stealing computer time from a phone company.
  – Poulsen - first computer hacker to be charged with espionage for obtaining a classified document from a military database.
The Dark Side of Hacking

- Citibank discovered $400,000 missing in July 1994
  - Levin illegally transfers $12M in assets from Citibank to a number of off-shore bank accounts
  - First Chief Information Security Officer (CISO) position with the hiring of Stephen R. Katz
Government Response

• 2000
  – FBI established the first FBI Regional Computer Forensic Laboratory

• 2003
  – FBI CART case load exceeds 6500 cases and consists of about 782 TB of data
Computer Forensics
Still Evolving – Definitions & Standards

FBI Magnetic Media Program
Computer Analysis and Response Team (CART)

International Organization on Computer Evidence (IOCE) formed

First FBI Regional Computer Forensic Laboratory established

FBI CART case load exceeds 6500 cases, examining 782 TB of data

1984

First International Conference on Computer Evidence

1993

Mitnick and DiCicco cracked the USC computers again and misappropriated hundreds of Mb of disk space (a lot) to store VAX VMS DEC

1995

Levin masterminded a major conspiracy illegally transferred $12M in assets from Citibank to a number of international bank accounts.

2000

FBI arrest Mitnick on charges of stealing computer time from a phone company

2003

Poulsen became the first hacker to be indicted under U.S. espionage laws and charged him with stealing classified information
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Current Threats – A Reason for Computer Forensics

• *Identity Thefts and Phishing Scams*
  – Specialized hacking tools and services are commonly traded on international cyber sites.
  – Such sites often include sophisticated money laundering operations that operate as virtual banks
Compliance and Security Best Practices
A Reason for Computer Forensics

• Personal Identifiable Information (PII)
  – organization should be able to demonstrate that a Computer Forensics investigation was applied in such a way that meets standards

• Ability to satisfy regulatory audits
  – formal organizational policy,
  – incident response procedures
What is Computer Forensics?

It depends …… on who you ask

• Computer forensics is a branch of forensic science pertaining to legal evidence found in computers and digital storage mediums. … en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Computer_forensics
What is Computer Forensics?

It depends …… on who you ask

ISACA – IS Auditing Guideline
(Doc G28)

• Computer forensics can be defined as the process of extracting information and data from computer storage media using court validated tools and technology and proven forensic best practices to establish its accuracy and reliability for the purpose of reporting on the same as evidence.
What is Computer Forensics?

• “Computer forensics is a science as well as an art” - ISACA – IS Auditing Guideline
  – extracting and gathering data from a computer
  – determine if and how an abuse or intrusion has occurred
  – when it occurred
  – who was the intruder or perpetrator
What is Computer Forensics?

It depends …… on what you’re asked to do

• What are you looking for?
• What’s the time-scale?
• Where’s the physical/virtual location?
• Which applications?
Is There a Clear Definition?

• Lacking a clear definition of Computer Forensics results in:
  – organizations ignore its place in the arsenal of cyber defense
  – address events that require an investigative process on an *ad hoc* basis
Not a Definition
But a Process

Collection → Examination → Analysis → Reporting

Media → Data → Information → Evidence
Collection Phase

• Conducted in such a manner as to be legally admissible in a court case
  – What is the evidence?
  – How did you get it?
  – When was it collected (time-stamp)?
  – Who has handled it (signatures)?
  – Why did that person handle it?
  – Where has it traveled, and where was it ultimately stored?
## Request/Mandate

### REQUEST FOR SERVICE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CASE INFORMATION:</th>
<th></th>
<th>RCFL Case #:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Submitting Person/ID#:</td>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>Agency Case #:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submitting Agency:</td>
<td>Service: <strong>Field Lab Tech</strong></td>
<td>Case Title:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency Property Tag #:</td>
<td>Suspect’s Name:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case Agent:</td>
<td>Phone #:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDA/AUSA Assigned:</td>
<td>Phone #:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Seized:</td>
<td>Case/Crime Type:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location Seized:</td>
<td>Pending Court Dates:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site #:</td>
<td>Date Analysis Needed:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspect In Custody:</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>Expected Evidence Return Date:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narcotics Related:</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>Number of Computers Anticipated:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Seizure: (Circle)</td>
<td>Search Warrant</td>
<td>Probation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has this evidence been previously viewed and/or accessed by anyone?</td>
<td>Explain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are you aware of any privileged information contained within evidence?</td>
<td>Explain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you want Standard Case Related Search Strings run against evidence?</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Circle Requested Searches)</td>
<td>Child Porn</td>
<td>Narcotics</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Understand the Implications

• Containment
  – disconnecting network cables,
  – unplugging power,
  – increasing physical security measures, gracefully shutting down a host)

• Business Impact
  – Operations?
  – Physical Security limited access to ensure that the evidence is not altered
Procedures Designed to Prevent Modification of Media

- Use a hard disk write block tool to intercept any inadvertent disk writes.
- When possible, set a hardware jumper to make the disk read only.
- Use an operating system and other software that are trusted not to write to the disk unless given explicit instructions.
Volatility: Dead or Alive?

“Dead” Forensic Analysis

Data
- Volatile
- Non-Volatile

“Live” Incident Response
Examination Phase

• Builds on the *Collection Phase*
  – original evidence must be protected from accidental or unintentional damage or alteration
  – must be duplicated exactly to create a copy that is true and accurate
Original Evidence

“Best” Evidence

Secondary “Working” Copies
Decision Determines Data

Non-Volatile
- Configuration files
- Users & Groups
- Password Files
- Scheduled Jobs
- Logs
- System Events
- Audit Records
- Application Files
- Data Files

Volatile
- Slack Space
- Free Space
- Network Connections
- Network Config
- Running Processes
- Open Files
- Login Sessions
- Operating System time

Evidence
Decide on How to Collect

Bit-Stream Image
- Bit-for-bit copy
- Free space
- Slack Space
- More Storage
- Takes longer

Logical Backup
- Copies only files & directories
- No other data like:
  - Slack space
  - Deleted files

Media
Tools

• The Coroner’s Toolkit (TCT)
  – Suite of tools written by Wietse Venema and Dan Farmer to help a System Admin doing forensic analysis on their cracked Unix box (C and Perl).

• Access Data’s Forensic Toolkit® (FTK™)
  – File filtering and search functionality. FTK's customizable filters allow you to sort through thousands of files.
    • FTK is recognized as the leading forensic tool to perform email analysis.
Analysis Phase

• How does the “data” correlate to the “reason for the investigation”? 
File Carving Utilities

• Reveal details that may not have been readily apparent. For example, the investigator might review file names for patterns before examining the details of the file or its content.

• Keyword and string or text searches are helpful to identify specific related to the scope of the investigation.

• *Thumbnails* in Encase present graphic images.
Analysis Techniques

- **Timeframe**
  - Review the time and date stamps contained in the file system metadata (e.g., last modified, last accessed, created, change of status) to link files of interest to the relevant timeframes
  - Review system and application logs that may be present. These may include error logs, installation logs, connection logs, security logs

- **Data hiding**
  - Correlate file headers to the corresponding file extensions to identify any mismatches.
  - Gain access to all password-protected, encrypted, and **compressed files**
  - Gaining access to a **host-protected area (HPA)**
  - Steganography
Life Span

- Nano-seconds
- Ten Nano-seconds
- Milli-seconds
- Seconds
- Minutes
- Years

Type of Data

- Registers, Cache, etc.
- Main Memory
- Network State
- Running Processes
- Disks
- Disks, CD-ROMs backups
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Reporting Phase

• A Forensic Report typically is used to support *incident response* activities
  – The qualifications and the relevant experience of the expert;
  – The instructions and any material facts that were given to the expert;
  – The material facts as it relates to the expert's own knowledge;
  – The details of the tests on the validity of the data performed;
  – The opinions and any qualifications of the expert as well as the range of professional opinion;
  – A summary of the conclusions reached.
## Computer Evidence Worksheet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Number:</th>
<th>Exhibit Number:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laboratory Number:</th>
<th>Control Number:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Computer Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufacturer:</th>
<th>Model:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial Number:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Examiner Markings:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Computer Type:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Desktop □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laptop □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Computer Condition:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Good □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damaged □ (See Remarks)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Hard Drives:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.5&quot; Floppy Drive □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.25&quot; Floppy Drive □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modem □</th>
<th>Network Card □</th>
<th>Tape Drive □</th>
<th>Tape Drive Type:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>100 MB Zip □</th>
<th>250 MB Zip □</th>
<th>CD Reader □</th>
<th>CD Read/Write □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DVD □</th>
<th>Other:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Report Considerations

- **Alternative Explanations.** When the information regarding an event is incomplete, it may not be possible to arrive at a definitive explanation of what happened.

- **Audience Consideration.** Knowing the audience to which the data or information will be shown is important.

- **Actionable Information.** Reporting also includes identifying actionable information gained from data that may allow an analyst to collect new sources of information.
Resources


• IS AUDITING GUIDELINE, COMPUTER FORENSICS DOCUMENT G28, ISACA IS Auditing Standards, www.isaca.org

• SANS Forensics Blog - https://computer-forensics.sans.org/
Books

• Computer Forensics Library Boxed Set (Paperback) Keith J. Jones (Author), Richard Bejtlich (Author), Curtis W. Rose (Author), Dan Farmer (Author), Wietse Venema (Author), Brian Carrier (Author)

• EnCase Computer Forensics, includes DVD: The Official EnCE: EnCase Certified Examiner Study Guide (Paperback) ~ Steve Bunting
NIST

- NIST
- Special Publication 800-86
The Case “For” and “Against” a DIY Forensics Approach

- Are measures are in place to prevent information from being destroyed, corrupted or becoming unavailable?
- Are all parties informed that electronic evidence will be sought through discovery from the computer?
- Are there specific protocols requiring all parties to preserve electronic evidence?
- Do you have an Incident Response Team and forensic investigation capabilities already in place?
- Do you have the infrastructure and processes to handle incidents?
Questions?

Thank You For Your Time and Encouragement!

Bill Mee
wmee@mcvh-vcu.edu
bmee@wirelessdatadesign.com
(cell) 804-543-3266